arXiv:1509.08580v2 [math.CO] 1 Dec 2016

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF RANDOM-TO-RANDOM MARKOV CHAINS

A. B. DIEKER AND F. V. SALIOLA

ABSTRACT. We compute the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of random-to-random Markov chains. We use a family of maps which reveal a remarkable recursive structure of the eigenspaces, yielding an explicit and effective construction of all eigenbases starting from bases of the kernels.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. The shuffling processes 5
2.1. The shuffles 5
2.2. Decks of cards as words 6
2.3. Transition matrices 6
3. Eigenvalues 7
3.1. Eigenvalues and horizontal strips 7
3.2. Applications 10
3.3. Eigenvalues and the RSK correspondence 11
4. Eigenspaces 14
4.1. Motivating examples 14
4.2. Lifting eigenvectors 18
4.3. Constructing eigenspaces and eigenbases 20
4.4. Frobenius characteristics of the eigenspaces 23
5. Proofs of Theorem 21 and Theorem 26 25
5.1. Outline of the proofs 25
5.2. Fourier transform reduction 26
5.3. An identity in the algebra of words 27
5.4. Restriction to Specht modules S^λ 31
5.5. Projection to the Specht module $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$ 33
5.6. Reformulation of the projection morphism 37
5.7. Image of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ 39
5.8. Construction of eigenspaces from kernels of $\mathsf{R2R}_m$ 40
6. Appendix: Tables of random-to-random eigenvalues 44
References 49

Date: Compiled on Friday 2nd December, 2016 at 04:13.
The work of the second author was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

1. Introduction

Random-to-random Markov chains, also known as *random insertion* [SCZ08] and *random-to-random insertion* [Sub13], describe the random evolution of n (ordered) objects, some of which may be identical, if someone repeatedly removes an object at random and puts it back at a random position. One can think of the objects as being books on a shelf, entries in a database, characters in a word, or cards in a deck of cards. As such, the random-to-random Markov chain constitutes a canonical card shuffling model, and can be thought of as sequentially applying a random-to-top shuffle (choose a card at random and move it to the top) and a top-to-random shuffle (move the top card to a random position) at each step.

There are several fundamental questions concerning random-to-random shuffles that have withstood analysis. This is quite striking considering that these same questions have been answered for the related random-to-top and top-to-random shuffles, which play an instrumental role in the theory of card shuffling [Dia88] and the theory of random walks on groups and semigroups [DSC95, BHR99, Bro00, SC04, MSS15b, MSS15a]. This paper provides answers to some of these questions and new tools to investigate others.

Our results. This paper studies random-to-random Markov chains through a spectral lens. We introduce a family of maps that allow us to compute the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of random-to-random Markov chains. Our maps reveal a remarkable recursive structure of the eigenspaces, and yield an explicit and effective construction of all eigenbases starting from bases of the kernels.

Figure 1 gives a high-level description of how our maps exploit the recursive nature of random-to-random Markov chains to construct its eigenbases. This paper introduces the maps $\mathcal{L}_i$ depicted in the figure, explains which of them generate eigenspaces of the larger Markov chain, and describes when two different eigenspaces of the smaller chain generate the same eigenspace of the larger chain. Furthermore, this paper shows how to selectively apply our maps to generate all non-kernel eigenspaces *exactly* once from random-to-random kernels.

We also give two combinatorial descriptions of the eigenvalues of random-to-random Markov chains. The first model indexes the eigenvalues by pairs of integer partitions called *horizontal strips*. The eigenvalues and their multiplicities are obtained using a combinatorial statistic defined on the horizontal strips. The second model directly outputs an eigenvalue for each arrangement of the deck of cards. All eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) are obtained in this way by considering all possible arrangements of the deck of cards, i.e., the states of the random-to-random Markov chain.

Implications of our results. Our results have several immediate implications, and may lead to further applications.

1) We establish that all eigenvalues of random-to-random Markov chains are integers, up to an explicit multiplicative scaling factor. This has been previously conjectured in the Stanford University PhD thesis of Jay-Calvin Uyemura-Reyes [UR02, Section 5.2]. Using Fourier analysis on symmetric groups, Uyemura-Reyes determines eigenvalues and eigenvectors for various representations of the symmetric groups (including the sign representation, the permutation representation, and the standard representation [UR02, Proposition 5.3, Theorems 5.4–5.5]), and makes



FIGURE 1. An abstraction of the recursive structure of the eigenspaces for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, highlighting the role of the maps $\mathcal{L}_i$ introduced in this paper. Each cell represents a one-dimensional eigenspace of the random-to-random Markov chain. Eigenspaces that share dashed borders are rotations of each other when exploiting well-understood permutation symmetries. The number in a cell is the eigenvalue corresponding to its eigenspace. The kernel is the only eigenspace that is not generated by these maps.

several explicit conjectures on the eigenvalues for other representations [UR02, Conjectures 5.6–5.11]. This leads him to conjecture that all the eigenvalues are integers [UR02, Conjecture 5.2]. Our results settle all these conjectures.

2) Our results have implications for the Laplacians associated with the complex of injective words. Indeed, Hanlon and Hersh [HH04] uncovered a close connection between random-to-random Markov chains and these Laplacians. They show that the aforementioned integrality property of the eigenvalues of random-to-random Markov chains establishes that the spectra of the Laplacians associated with the complex of injective words are also integral. See Corollary 7.

3) Our results give a new perspective on the spectral analysis of symmetrized versions of Bidigare–Hanlon–Rockmore random walks on the faces of a hyperplane arrangement, which leads to intriguing questions. This seminal theory was initiated by Bidigare, Hanlon and Rockmore (BHR) [BHR99] and further developed by Brown and Diaconis [BD98, Bro00, Bro04]. They showed that several popular Markov chains are random walks on the faces of a hyperplane arrangement. This includes the random-to-top shuffle, which shows that the random-to-random shuffle can be obtained by "symmetrizing" a BHR random walk: sequentially apply the random-to-top shuffle and its inverse shuffle (*i.e.*, the top-to-random shuffle).

As first observed by Uyemura-Reyes [UR02, Section 5.2.3], and further reinforced by the work of Reiner–Saliola–Welker [RSW14], the eigenvalues of other families of symmetrized BHR shuffles also seem to exhibit nice properties. The techniques of the present paper can be used to analyse the spectra of these shuffles. For instance, Reiner–Saliola–Welker studied a family of symmetrized BHR operators that commute with the random-to-random operator. Our results can be used to give a second proof that these operators commute and construct their eigenspaces (see Remark 36). Possibly our approach can be generalized for other symmetrized versions of BHR walks with a symmetric distribution on the faces.

4) Our results have the potential to help settle an open problem on the so-called *mixing time* of random-to-random Markov chains, i.e., how many shuffles are needed to approach the stationary distribution. Most of the existing literature on random-to-random Markov chains focuses on this problem. The first result of this kind is by Diaconis and Saloff-Coste [DSC95], who give a bound of the correct asymptotic order ($n\log(n)$ for a deck of n cards) as the number of cards grows to infinity. Subsequently, Uyemura-Reyes [UR02, Section 5.1] established an upper bound for the mixing time, which was later sharpened in work of Saloff-Coste and Zúñiga [SCZ08]. More recently, Subag proved a lower bound that is conjectured to be tight [Sub13]. It remains an open problem to prove a matching upper bound. The current best known upper bound was obtained by Morris and Qin [MQ14].

One of the standard proof techniques for establishing mixing times uses explicit bounds on eigenvalues, as for instance carried out by Diaconis and Shahshahani [DS81] for the random transposition shuffling model. The conjectured mixing time for the random-to-random shuffle is supported by a heuristic argument based on this technique [UR02, Section 5.2.1] (see also [Dia03, Section 5]). Hence, our explicit combinatorial descriptions of the random-to-random eigenvalues could lead to sharp mixing bounds with the potential to settle the aforementioned open problem, but this is outside the scope of this paper.

5) Our results may shed new light on various generalizations of the random-to-random shuffle that have been of recent interest. Ayyer, Schilling and Thiéry [AST14] introduced Markov chains on the set of linear extensions of a finite poset; when the poset is an antichain, they recover the random-to-random shuffle on permutations. They conjecture that the second largest eigenvalue is bounded above by $(1+1/n)(1-2/n)$ for posets with n elements, with equality when the poset is disconnected. For the case of the random-to-random shuffle, it follows immediately from our results that this bound is achieved. Representation theory also plays a prominental role in their analysis.

There has also been quite a bit of interest in systematic scan versions of the random-to-random shuffle where instead of randomly selecting a card to remove, the cards are removed systematically. One such shuffle is the *card-cyclic-to-random* shuffle: remove the card labelled 1 and randomly reinsert it back into the deck; then remove the card labelled 2 and randomly reinsert it; then remove the card labelled 3 and randomly reinsert it; and so on, cycling through all the cards in the deck. This shuffle was introduced by Pinsky [Pin15] and further studied by Morris–Ning–Peres [MNP14], who showed that the mixing time is on the order of $n\log(n)$ for a deck of n cards.

Outline of the article. Our results rest on two principal theorems. The proofs of these two theorems are quite lengthy and make substantial use of the representation theory of the symmetric group, so we delay them to §5. This allows us to present a detailed exposition in §2 through §4 that is unimpeded by technicalities and that does not require any knowledge of representation theory.

§2 introduces notation and interprets shuffles as operators acting on words. We use a word to model a deck of cards since this allows for decks with repeated cards.

The eigenvalues are described in §3. We present two descriptions: one in terms of a combinatorial statistic on words (§3.3); and a reformulation in terms of horizontal strips (§3.1). This makes use of the celebrated Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence between words and pairs of tableaux (defined in §3.3) as well as the providential notion of desarrangement tableaux (§3.1.3).

The eigenspaces are described in §4. The central result is an inductive procedure to construct eigenvectors for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length $n+1$ from eigenvectors for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length n. We present two concrete examples in §4.1 to illustrate the process; these are special cases of results in §4.2. It turns out that all eigenvectors can be obtained in this way, except those that lie in the kernel. §4.3 describes how this leads an explicit recursive construction of eigenbases for random-to-random shuffles starting from bases of their kernels. In §4.4, we briefly restrict our attention to decks with no repeated cards (*i.e.*, permutations). We compute the Frobenius characteristic of the eigenspaces of the random-to-random operator viewed as (left) modules of the symmetric groups.

§5 is dedicated to proving the two principal theorems, Theorem 21 and Theorem 26. A detailed outline of the section appears in §5.1, which also serves as an outline of the proofs. We refer the reader to §5.1 for more details.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank the following people for numerous enlightening conversations concerning various aspects of this research project: Nantel Bergeron, Persi Diaconis, Darij Grinberg, Mathieu Guay-Paquet, Nadia Lafrenière, Vic Reiner, Stéphanie Schanck, Anne Schilling, Nicolas M. Thiéry, Volkmar Welker, Mike Zabrocki. We also thank Darij, Nadia and Stéphanie for the careful reading of the text and numerous suggestions that improved the exposition.

We thank Patricia Hersh for her encouragement and advice, and for pointing out the application to the integrality of the Laplacians of the complex of injective words.

We thank Alain Lascoux for explaining how to efficiently construct irreducible matrix representations of the symmetric group. The resulting computations were very helpful throughout the course of this project.

This research was facilitated by computer exploration using the open-source mathematical software `Sage` [Dev15] and its algebraic combinatorics features developed by the `Sage-Combinat` community [SCc08].

2. The shuffling processes

In this section, we introduce the card shuffling processes we will study, describe their action on words, and define their transition matrices.

2.1. The shuffles.

The random-to-top shuffle, also known as the *Tsetlin library* or the *move-to-front/end rule*, is the following method of shuffling a deck of cards.

> *Random-to-Top shuffle*: choose a card at random from a deck of cards and move it to the top of the deck.

The top-to-random shuffle is the inverse process.

> *Top-to-Random shuffle*: remove the top card from a deck of cards and insert it into the deck at a random position.

The *random-to-random shuffle* (which is sometimes called *random insertion* in the literature) is the "symmetrization" of the random-to-top shuffle; that is, it is the composition of the random-to-top shuffle with the top-to-random shuffle.

> *Random-to-Random shuffle*: remove a card at random from a deck of cards and insert it into the deck at a random position.

2.2. **Decks of cards as words.** These shuffling processes define random walks on all the possible ways of arranging the cards in a deck. If the deck of n cards contains distinct cards, then we model these arrangements as permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Otherwise, we model the deck of cards as a word (defined below) of length n. The former is a special case of the latter, but it is often instructive and advantageous to consider the two cases separately.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\}$ be an ordered finite set. We say that A is an *alphabet* and that its elements are *letters*. The elements of A play the role of the cards in the deck. We implicitly assume throughout that A has enough elements; *i.e.*, A has at least as many letters as the deck has distinct cards.

A *word* on A is a finite sequence of elements of A. A word then corresponds to an arrangement of the deck of cards. The *length* of a word w is the number of letters that it contains and is denoted by $\ell(w)$. A word w is said to have *evaluation* $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r)$ if w contains ν_1 occurrences of the first letter of the alphabet, ν_2 occurrences of the second letter, and so on. (Some authors call this the "content" of the word.)

We view permutations as words and denote them using *one-line notation* or *word notation*: if σ is a permutation, then we write $\sigma = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)\cdots\sigma(n)$. For instance, $\sigma = 213$ is the permutation that interchanges 1 and 2, and fixes 3. Hence, permutations of size n coincide with the words of evaluation $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ (with n copies of 1) on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

2.3. **Transition matrices.** The long-term behaviour of these random walks is governed by the properties (such as eigenvalues and eigenvectors) of a certain matrix associated to the walk called its transition matrix.

Definition 1. The *transition matrix* of a shuffling process acting on words of evaluation ν is the matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by these words and whose (w, u)-entry is the probability of obtaining u from w with exactly one shuffle.

The *eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a shuffling process* are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the associated transition matrix.

We will see that the transition matrix of the random-to-random shuffle is TT^t, where T is the transition matrix of the random-to-top shuffle and T^t is its transpose (note that T^t is the transition matrix of the top-to-random shuffle).

Example 2. Our convention is to identify the "top" of the deck with the *last position* of a word. With this convention, the random-to-top shuffle acting on a word w moves a letter to the end of the word. For instance, starting with 2112 one could

obtain 1122, 2121 (in two ways), and 2112. Hence, the transition matrix of the random-to-top shuffle acting on words of length 4 and evaluation $(2,2)$ is

$$\frac{1}{4}\times\begin{array}{c} \\ 1122\\1212\\2112\\1221\\2121\\2211\end{array}\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{cccccc}1122&1212&2112&1221&2121&2211\end{array}\\\begin{pmatrix}2&0&0&2&0&0\\1&1&0&1&1&0\\1&0&1&0&2&0\\0&2&0&1&0&1\\0&1&1&0&1&1\\0&0&2&0&0&2\end{pmatrix}\end{array}$$

and the transition matrix of the random-to-random shuffle acting words of length 4 and evaluation $(2,2)$ is

$$\frac{1}{16}\times\begin{array}{c} \\ 1122\\1212\\2112\\1221\\2121\\2211\end{array}\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{cccccc}1122&1212&2112&1221&2121&2211\end{array}\\\begin{pmatrix}8&4&2&2&0&0\\4&4&3&3&2&0\\2&3&6&0&3&2\\2&3&0&6&3&2\\0&2&3&3&4&4\\0&0&2&2&4&8\end{pmatrix}\end{array}.$$

(The words labelling the rows and columns of the matrix are ordered from right-to-left/bottom-to-top using reverse lexicographic ordering.)

3. Eigenvalues

This section describes the eigenvalues of the random-to-random shuffle acting on words. The eigenvalues can be indexed by certain combinatorial objects called horizontal strips. We introduce these in §3.1 and describe a combinatorial statistic that associates with each horizontal strip an eigenvalue of the random-to-random shuffle.

In §3.2, we present two applications of these results. We compute the second largest eigenvalue in §3.2.1. In §3.2.2 we establish the integrality of the spectra of the Laplacians on the complex of injective words.

Since the random-to-random operator is acting on a vector space of dimension the number of words, one can also index the eigenvalues using words. §3.3 reformulates the eigenvalue result to associate with each word w an eigenvalue of the random-to-random shuffle.

3.1. Eigenvalues and horizontal strips.

Our first description of the eigenvalues makes use of the notion of the diagonal index of the cells of a (skew) partition. We begin by reviewing the necessary definitions.

3.1.1. *Partitions and diagonal index.* An *(integer) partition* is a sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l > 0$. Let $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_l$. If $|\lambda| = n$, then we say that λ is a *partition of* n and denote this by $\lambda \vdash n$.

The *diagram* of λ is an array of left-justified boxes with λ_i boxes in row i. (See Figure 2(a) for an example.) The boxes are called the *cells* of λ.



FIGURE 2. (a) Diagram of the partition $(4,2,2,1)$. (b) Diagonal index of the cells $(2,2)$ and $(3,1)$. (c) The horizontal strip $(4,2,2,1)/(3,2,1)$ consists of the 3 depicted white cells.

We use matrix coordinates to index the cells of λ: so (i,j) refers to the cell in row i and column j of the diagram of λ. The *diagonal index* of a cell (i,j) is $j-i$; see Figure 2(b) for examples. (Some authors call this the "content" of the cell.) We denote by $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)$ the sum of the diagonal indices of all the cells of λ.

$$\mathrm{diag}(\lambda) = \sum_{\text{cells } (i,j) \text{ of } \lambda} (j-i).$$

3.1.2. *Skew partitions and horizontal strips.* If λ and μ are two partitions such that the diagram of λ contains the diagram of μ, then the *skew partition* λ/μ consists of the cells of λ that do not belong to μ (see Figure 2(c)). A *horizontal strip* is a skew partition containing at most one cell in each column.

We denote by $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda/\mu)$ the sum of the diagonal indices of all the cells of λ/μ:

$$\mathrm{diag}(\lambda/\mu) = \sum_{\text{cells } (i,j) \text{ of } \lambda/\mu} (j-i).$$

3.1.3. *Tableaux, ascents and desarrangement tableaux.* We refer the reader to Example 4 for examples of the notions introduced below.

Let λ be a partition of n. A *tableau* of shape λ is a filling of the cells of the diagram of λ with positive integers. A tableau with n cells is said to be *standard* if its entries are $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and they are arranged in such as way that they strictly increase along the rows (left to right) and down the columns (top to bottom).

An entry i in a standard tableau t of size n is an *ascent* of t if either $i=n$ or if $i<n$ and $i+1$ appears weakly to the north and east of i. A standard tableau t is said to be a *desarrangement tableau* if its smallest ascent is even. Equivalently, t is a desarrangement tableau if there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1,2,\ldots,2r$ are in the first column of t and $2r+1$ is not in the first column of t. Equivalently, t is a desarrangement tableau if the $(1,2)$-entry of t is odd, or there is no $(1,2)$-entry and n is even.

Remark 3. Under the RSK correspondence between words and pairs of tableaux (recalled in §3.3), the ascents of a word coincide with the ascents of its recording tableau. Thus, desarrangement tableaux are precisely the recording tableaux of words whose first ascent is even. Such a word is called a *desarrangement word*. They were first studied by Désarménien in [Dés82], who showed that desarrangement permutations are in bijection with derangements. Their properties were further developed by Désarménien and Wachs in [DW88, DW93]. Desarrangement tableaux

also appear in recent work of Reiner, the second author, and Welker [RSW14], and Hersh and Reiner [HR15].

Example 4 (Desarrangement tableaux). The unique tableau of size 0 is a desarrangement tableau. There is one standard tableau of size 1; it is not a desarrangement tableau. There are two standard tableaux of size 2 and four of size 3.



Only the second and fourth tableaux above are desarrangement tableaux.

There are exactly 4 desarrangement tableaux of size 4:



3.1.4. *Eigenvalues and horizontal strips.* The eigenvalues of the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of evaluation $\nu \vdash n$ are indexed by horizontal strips λ/μ with $\lambda \trianglerighteq \nu$. Here, $\trianglerighteq$ denotes the *dominance order* on partitions of n, defined by

$$\lambda \trianglerighteq \nu \text{ if and only if } \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i \geq \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_i \text{ for all } i \geq 1;$$

where it is understood that if a partition μ does not have a j-th part, then $\mu_j = 0$.

Theorem 5. *Every eigenvalue of the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of evaluation $\nu \vdash n$ is of the form $\frac{1}{n^2}\operatorname{eig}(\lambda/\mu)$, where*

- *λ/μ is a horizontal strip with $\lambda \trianglerighteq \nu$ such that $\mu \neq (n)$ and $\mu \neq (1,1,\ldots,1)$ with an odd number of 1s;*
- *and* eig *is the following combinatorial statistic defined on skew partitions:*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{eig}(\lambda/\mu) &= \left[\binom{|\lambda|+1}{2} + \operatorname{diag}(\lambda)\right] - \left[\binom{|\mu|+1}{2} + \operatorname{diag}(\mu)\right] \\ &= \binom{|\lambda|+1}{2} - \binom{|\mu|+1}{2} + \operatorname{diag}(\lambda/\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The multiplicity of an eigenvalue $\frac{1}{n^2}\varepsilon$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda/\mu \text{ is a horizontal strip,} \\ \lambda \trianglerighteq \nu \text{ and } \operatorname{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} K_{\lambda,\nu}\, d^{\mu},$$

where $K_{\lambda,\nu}$ the number of semistandard tableaux of shape λ and evaluation ν, and d^{μ} is the number of desarrangement tableaux of shape μ (cf. §3.1.3).

Examples illustrating Theorem 5 appear in Figure 3 ($n = 3$) and §6 ($n \leq 5$).

Proof (Outline). The result will follow from a decomposition of the vector space into subspaces on which the random-to-random operator acts as scalar multiplication. We provide an outline of the argument here.

Properties of the representation theory of the symmetric group allow us to decompose the vector space M^{ν} spanned by words of evaluation ν into subspaces S^{λ} that are indexed by partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ with $\lambda \trianglerighteq \nu$. The number of copies of the space S^{λ} in M^{ν} is $K_{\lambda,\nu}$. (This is explained in detail in §5.2 and Proposition 41 of §5.4.2.)

Theorem 26 further decomposes these subspaces into subspaces that are indexed by horizontal strips λ/μ, that are of dimension d^μ, and on which the (normalized) random-to-random operator acts as scalar multiplication by $\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)$. □

λ/μ	d^μ	$K_{\lambda,111}$	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	$\mathrm{diag}(\lambda/\mu)$	$\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)$
	1	1	1	6	0	3	9
	1	2	2	6	3	1	4
	1	2	2	6	6	0	0
	1	1	1	6	3	-2	1

FIGURE 3. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for random-to-random shuffles acting on permutations of size $n = 3$. (See §6 for $2 \le n \le 6$.)

3.2. **Applications.** Before continuing, we present two immediate implications of our results. This section is not necessary for what follows.

3.2.1. *Second largest eigenvalue.* Theorem 5 allows us to compute the second largest eigenvalue for the random-to-random shuffle. It corresponds to the skew partition λ/μ with $\lambda = (n-1,1)$ and $\mu = (1,1)$; in this case

$$\mathrm{eig}\left(\ \ \right) = \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{3}{2} + \Big(1 + \cdots + (n-2)\Big)$$
$$= \frac{(n+1)n}{2} - 3 + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} = n^2 - n - 2 = (n-2)(n+1).$$



It is of multiplicity $K_{(n-1,1),\nu}\, d^{(1,1)} = \ell(\nu) - 1$, since there is only one desarrangement tableau of shape $\mu = (1,1)$ and $\ell(\nu) - 1$ semistandard tableaux of shape $(n-1,1)$ and evaluation ν. This establishes the following.

Corollary 6 (Second largest eigenvalue)**.** *Let ν be a partition of n that is not equal to (n). Then the second largest eigenvalue of the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of evaluation ν is*

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\Big((n-2)(n+1)\Big) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

with multiplicity $\ell(\nu) - 1$.

We refer to [CLR10, Die10] for a related result for a different shuffling process.

3.2.2. *Integrality of the spectra of the Laplacians for the complex of injective words.* Hanlon and Hersh [HH04] uncovered a close connection between random-to-random Markov chains and the Laplacians of the complex of injective words. Our results immediately imply that the spectra of these Laplacians are also integral. To describe this connection, we first recall some definitions and results from [HH04, RW04].

Fix n and let P_n denote the set of words without repetition on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Words without repetition are called *injective words*. The set P_n is

partially ordered using the relation $w \leq u$ in P_n if and only if w is a subword of u. With respect to this partial order, P_n is the poset of faces of a $(n-1)$–dimensional regular CW-complex $\mathcal{K}_n$, called the *complex of injective words*. This complex has been studied by Farmer [Far79] and Bjorner and Wachs [BW83], whose results imply that its homology vanishes except in the top degree, and by Reiner and Webb [RW04], who studied the homology as modules for the symmetric groups.

Let $\mathcal{M}_r$ denote the vector space spanned by the set of injective words of length r on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\partial_r : \mathcal{M}_r \to \mathcal{M}_{r-1}$ denote the linear transformation defined on injective words of length r as

$$\partial_r(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_r) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^j w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{j-1} w_{j+1} \cdots w_r;$$

and let $\delta_r : \mathcal{M}_r \to \mathcal{M}_{r+1}$ denote the adjoint of ∂_{r+1} (with respect to the inner product on $\mathcal{M}_r$ for which the injective words form an orthonormal basis). The *Laplacian* is the linear transformation $\Lambda_r : \mathcal{M}_r \to \mathcal{M}_r$ defined as

$$\Lambda_r = \delta_{r-1} \circ \partial_r + \partial_{r+1} \circ \delta_r.$$

For $r = n$, Hanlon and Hersh proved that the Laplacian Λ_n coincides with the "signed" random-to-random operator $\mathsf{R2R}_n^{\pm}$, which is defined for $1 \leq r \leq n$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_r^{\pm}(w_1 \cdots w_r) = r \cdot w_1 \cdots w_r \\ + \sum_{1 \leq u < v \leq r} (-1)^{v-u} w_1 \cdots w_{u-1} \boldsymbol{w_{u+1} \cdots w_v w_u} w_{v+1} \cdots w_r \\ + \sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq r} (-1)^{u-v} w_1 \cdots w_{v-1} \boldsymbol{w_u w_v \cdots w_{u-1}} w_{u+1} \cdots w_r\end{aligned}$$

(see [HH04, Theorem 3.1]). The random-to-random operator $\mathsf{R2R}_r$ is the element obtained replacing all occurrences of -1 by 1 in the definition of $\mathsf{R2R}_r^{\pm}$ (see Definition 32). Hanlon and Hersh prove that the integrality of the spectrum of $\mathsf{R2R}_r^{\pm}$ implies the integrality of the spectrum of Λ_r [HH04, Theorem 3.3(2)]. Since the operators $\mathsf{R2R}_r^{\pm}$ and $\mathsf{R2R}_r$ are conjugate, they have the same spectrum and so the integrality of the spectrum of Λ_r follows from Theorem 5.

Corollary 7. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\Lambda_r : \mathcal{M}_r \to \mathcal{M}_r$, for $0 \leq r \leq n$, be the Laplacians associated with the complex of injective words on the alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then the spectrum of Λ_r is integral.*

3.3. **Eigenvalues and the RSK correspondence.** Since the random-to-random operator is acting on a vector space whose dimension is the number of words, it is natural to index the eigenvalues using these words. Here, we reformulate Theorem 5 to associate with each word an eigenvalue of the random-to-random shuffle. This makes used of the celebrated Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence between words and pairs of tableaux.

3.3.1. *The RSK correspondence.* The Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence associates two tableaux, denoted $P(w)$ and $Q(w)$ of the same shape, with a word w. We review the correspondence here and refer the reader to [Sag01, Sta99, Knu70] for more details. The main step in this correspondence is the following.

Definition 8 (Row insertion)**.** Let t be a tableau and let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The *row insertion* of i into the r-th row of t is the tableau defined recursively as follows.

(1) If i is greater than or equal to all entries of the r-th row of t (including the case where the r-th row is empty), then append i to the end of the row.
(2) Otherwise, let j be the first occurrence of the smallest entry of the row that is greater than i. Replace j with i and insert the j into the $(r+1)$-th row.

If the row is not specified, then it is understood that $r = 1$.

Iterating the row insertion procedure allows us to associate a tableau $P(w)$ to any word w: start with the empty tableau (*i.e.*, the tableau with no rows and no columns); insert w_1 into row 1; then insert w_2 into row 1; then insert w_3 into row 1; and so on. The tableau $P(w)$ is called the *insertion tableau* of w. Note that the entries of $P(w)$ weakly increase along the rows and strictly increase down the columns. Such tableaux are said to be *semistandard*.

Example 9. Let $w = 234133134$. We insert 2, then we insert 3, then we insert 4, and so on, obtaining the following sequence of tableaux.

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_1} \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2\\\hline\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_2} \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&3\\\hline\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_3} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2&3&4\\\hline\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_4} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_5} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&3\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_6} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&3&3\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_7} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&3&3\\\hline 2&3\\\cline{1-2} 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_8} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&3&3&3\\\hline 2&3\\\cline{1-2} 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{insert } w_9} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&3&3&3&4\\\hline 2&3\\\cline{1-2} 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} = P(w).$$

The above procedure constructs $P(w)$ one cell at a time. By recording at which step the cells are created, we obtain a second tableau $Q(w)$: a cell of $Q(w)$ contains i if and only if the corresponding cell of $P(w)$ is the i-th cell to be created. The tableau $Q(w)$ is called the *recording tableau* of w. Note that the entries of $Q(w)$ are $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where n is the length of w, and that they strictly increase along the rows and down the columns of $Q(w)$. Such tableaux are said to be *standard*.

Example 10. Continuing from Example 9,

$$P(w) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&3&3&3&4\\\hline 2&3\\\cline{1-2} 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q(w) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3&6&8&9\\\hline 4&5\\\cline{1-2} 7\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}.$$

3.3.2. *Eigenvalues and the RSK correspondence.* Let $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ be a word of length n on an ordered alphabet. A position $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is a *(weak) ascent* of w if either $i = n$ or $i < n$ with $w_i \leq w_{i+1}$. Recall that w has evaluation $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_r)$ if w contains ν_1 occurrences of the first letter of the alphabet, ν_2 occurrences of the second letter, and so on. If Q is a tableau of shape λ, then we let $\operatorname{diag}(Q) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda)$, which is the sum of the diagonal indices of the cells of λ.

The next result associates an eigenvalue with each word w. Abusing notation, we denote this by $\operatorname{eig}(w)$.

Theorem 11. *The random-to-random shuffle acting on words of evaluation $\nu \vdash n$ has an eigenvalue $\frac{1}{n^2}\operatorname{eig}(w)$ for each word w of evaluation ν, where*

$$\operatorname{eig}(w) = \left[\binom{\ell(w)+1}{2} + \operatorname{diag}\Big(Q(w)\Big)\right] - \left[\binom{\ell(w')+1}{2} + \operatorname{diag}\Big(Q(w')\Big)\right]$$

and where w' is the longest suffix of w whose first ascent is even.

The multiplicity of an eigenvalue ε is the number of words w of evaluation ν for which $\mathrm{eig}(w) = n^2\,\varepsilon$.

Example 12. Let $w = 234133134$. Then $w' = 4133134$ is the longest suffix of w whose first ascent is even (it occurs in position 2 of w'). The recording tableau $Q(234133134)$ appears in Example 10; and

$$P(4133134) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&3&3&4\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1} 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \qquad Q(4133134) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4&6&7\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1} 5\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,.$$

Since $\mathrm{shape}(Q(w)) = (6,2,1)$ and $\mathrm{shape}(Q(w')) = (5,1,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{eig}(234133134) &= \left[\binom{10}{2} + \mathrm{diag}\left((6,2,1)\right)\right] - \left[\binom{8}{2} + \mathrm{diag}\left((5,1,1)\right)\right]\\ &= [45+12] - [28+7] = 22.\end{aligned}$$

Example 13. See Figure 4 for a computation of the eigenvalues for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length 3 on the alphabet $\{1,2,3\}$. We list only the words whose evaluation is a partition.

w	w'	$Q(w)$	$Q(w')$	$\mathrm{eig}(w)$
111	$\emptyset$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline\end{array}$	$\emptyset$	9
112	$\emptyset$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline\end{array}$	$\emptyset$	9
121	21	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	4
211	211	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	0
123	$\emptyset$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline\end{array}$	$\emptyset$	9
132	32	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	4
231	31	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	4
321	21	$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	1
213	213	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	0
312	312	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$	0

FIGURE 4. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length $n = 3$. (*cf.* Example 13.)

3.3.3. *Equivalence of the eigenvalue descriptions.* Next, we prove that the descriptions of the eigenvalues given in Theorem 5 and Theorem 11 are equivalent.

Proof that Theorem 5 and Theorem 11 are equivalent. The RSK correspondence is a bijection between words of evaluation ν and pairs of tableaux (P, Q) with P a semi-standard tableau of evaluation ν, and Q a standard tableau such that $\operatorname{shape}(Q) = \operatorname{shape}(P)$ [Knu70, Sag01]. Hence, if w is a word of evaluation ν, then

$$\operatorname{eig}(w) = \operatorname{eig}\Big(\operatorname{shape}(P(w))/\operatorname{shape}(Q(\hat{w}))\Big),$$

where $\hat{w}$ is the longest suffix of w whose first ascent is even, since $\operatorname{shape}(Q(w)) = \operatorname{shape}(P(w))$.

Consequently, the result follows if, for each partition λ, there is a bijection between the set of standard tableaux of shape λ and the set of desarrangement tableaux $\hat{Q}$ such that $\lambda/\operatorname{shape}(\hat{Q})$ is a horizontal strip. This is a result in [RSW14]; we briefly describe a bijection and refer to [RSW14] for details.

Starting with $\hat{Q}$, perform "reverse" jeu-de-taquin slides [Sch77] on $\hat{Q}$ into the cells of $\lambda/\operatorname{shape}(\hat{Q})$ (starting with the leftmost empty cell and proceeding to the right). Then increment all the entries by $j = \operatorname{size}(\lambda/\operatorname{shape}(\hat{Q}))$. Properties of jeu-de-taquin [Sag01, Exercise 3.12.6] imply that we will create j empty cells in the first row; filling these with $1, 2, \ldots, j$ results in a standard tableau of shape λ. □

4. Eigenspaces

This section presents a procedure to construct eigenvectors for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length $n+1$ from eigenvectors for the random-to-random shuffle acting on words of length n. It turns out that all the eigenvectors can be obtained in this way, except those that lie in the kernel. This leads to an explicit construction of an eigenbasis starting from any basis of the kernels of random-to-random (or equivalently, random-to-top) shuffles.

4.1. Motivating examples.

We begin with two special cases that serve to introduce the ideas underlying our results. In short, we will introduce a new letter into a word and consider how this interacts with the random-to-random operator. This turns out to admit a nice description when restricted to the Specht submodules, which allows us to describe all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the random-to-random shuffle. Indeed, the Specht submodules are subspaces that are stable under the random-to-random shuffle and determining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors reduces to determining them on each of the Specht submodules. This reduction is sometimes called the *Fourier transform* and will be further discussed in §5.2.3.

In §4.1.1 we set up the environment and realize the shuffling operators as linear transformations on the vector space of words. In §4.1.2 we define the Specht submodules S^λ, one for each partition λ. In §4.1.3, we define a linear transformation from S^λ to $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}$, where $\lambda + \vec{e}_1$ is obtained from λ by adding 1 to its first component, that maps eigenvectors of the random-to-random shuffle acting on S^λ to eigenvectors of the random-to-random shuffle acting on $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}$. We then use this to construct an eigenbasis for $S^{(n-1,1)}$. In §4.1.4, we modify the previous linear transformation to construct eigenvectors in $S^{(2,2)}$ from eigenvectors in $S^{(2,1)}$.

4.1.1. *Shuffles as linear operators.* We will interpret shuffles as linear transformations on the vector space whose basis is the set of all words on a finite ordered alphabet A. To illustrate the idea, consider the effect of performing a top-to-random

shuffle on a word $w_1w_2w_3w_4$ (where $w_i \in A$); there are four possible outcomes:

$$w_1w_2w_3\boldsymbol{w_4} \qquad w_1w_2\boldsymbol{w_4}w_3 \qquad w_1\boldsymbol{w_4}w_2w_3 \qquad \boldsymbol{w_4}w_1w_2w_3.$$

(Recall that our convention is that the last letter is the "top" card.) The associated linear transformation is defined on words of length 4 as

$$\mathsf{T2R}_4(w_1w_2w_3\boldsymbol{w_4}) = w_1w_2w_3\boldsymbol{w_4} + w_1w_2\boldsymbol{w_4}w_3 + w_1\boldsymbol{w_4}w_2w_3 + \boldsymbol{w_4}w_1w_2w_3, \tag{2}$$

and is defined on arbitrary linear combinations of words of length 4 by linearity: $\mathsf{T2R}_4(\sum_w c_w w) = \sum_w c_w \mathsf{T2R}_4(w)$. The matrix of this linear transformation is a rescaling (by a factor of n) of the transition matrix of the top-to-random shuffle. Similarly, one defines $\mathsf{R2T}_n$ and $\mathsf{R2R}_n$. (For the explicit definitions, see §5.2.2.)

For a word w on the ordered alphabet $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$, let $\mathsf{sh}_i(w)$ denote the sum of all words obtained from w by inserting the i-th letter of A; explicitly,

$$\mathsf{sh}_i(w_1 \cdots w_n) = \sum_{0 \le j \le n} w_1 \cdots w_j \cdot \boldsymbol{a_i} \cdot w_{j+1} \cdots w_n.$$

By extending sh_i by linearity, we obtain a linear transformation on the vector space of words, which we also denote by sh_i. It turns out that the composition $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_i$ admits a nice description when we restrict to certain subspaces, which we describe next.

4.1.2. *Specht modules.* Let λ be a partition of n and let t be a tableau of shape λ whose entries are $1, 2, \dots, n$ (each appearing exactly once). Let $\mathrm{word}(t)$ denote the word over the alphabet $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ in which the i-th letter is a_r, where r is the number of the row of t that contains i. Note that the evaluation of $\mathrm{word}(t)$ is λ because it contains λ_1 occurrences of a_1, λ_2 occurrences of a_2, and so on. (We are implicitly assuming that A has at least as many letters as t has rows.)

Next, let M^λ denote the vector space spanned by words of evaluation λ and

$$\mathsf{w}_t = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathsf{ColStab}(t)} (-1)^{\mathsf{sign}(\sigma)} \,\mathrm{word}(\sigma(t)) \in M^\lambda,$$

where the sum ranges over all the permutations σ of size n that permute the entries in the columns of t in all possible ways. The *Specht module* S^λ is the subspace

$$S^\lambda = \mathrm{span}\,\{\mathsf{w}_t : t \in \mathsf{SYT}_\lambda\} \subseteq M^\lambda,$$

where SYT_λ denotes the set of standard (Young) tableaux of shape λ. (Remark 39 explains the relationship with the construction of Specht modules via polytabloids).

Example 14. For our examples, we will work with the alphabet $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$.

$$S^{(1,1)} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\hline\end{array} \right\} = \mathrm{span}\,\{ab - ba\}.$$

$$S^{(2,1)} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \right\} = \mathrm{span}\,\{aab - baa,\ aba - baa\}.$$

$$S^{(3,1)} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \right\} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \begin{array}{c} aaab - baaa, \\ aaba - baaa, \\ abaa - baaa \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$S^{(2,2)} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3&4\\\hline\end{array}, \quad \mathsf{w}\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2&4\\\hline\end{array} \right\} = \mathrm{span}\left\{ \begin{array}{c} aabb - abba - baab + bbaa, \\ abab - abba - baab + baba \end{array} \right\}.$$

4.1.3. *Level 1 lifting.* It turns out that sh_1 is a linear transformation from S^λ to $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}$, where $\lambda+\vec{e}_1$ is obtained from λ by adding 1 to its first component, that maps eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$.

Proposition 15 (Special case of Theorem 21)**.** *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$.*
If $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ with eigenvalue ε, then

$$\mathsf{sh}_1(v) \in S^{(\lambda_1+1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_r)}$$

and

$$\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}\left(\mathsf{sh}_1(v)\right) = (\varepsilon + (n+1) + \lambda_1)\ \mathsf{sh}_1(v).$$

Thus, $\mathsf{sh}_1(v)$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon + (n+1) + \lambda_1$.

Example 16. Note that $ab - ba \in S^{(1,1)}$ belongs to the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_2$. Hence,

$$\mathsf{sh}_1(ab - ba) = 2\,(aab - baa)$$

belongs to $S^{(2,1)}$ and it is an eigenvector $\mathsf{R2R}_3$ with eigenvalue $0 + (2+1) + 1 = 4$. Since $S^{(2,1)}$ is 2-dimensional and we have one eigenvector, we obtain a second eigenvector by taking any vector in $S^{(2,1)}$ that is orthogonal to the first. Hence,

$$aab - 2aba + baa$$

is an eigenvector; it belongs to the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_3$.

Applying sh_1 to the above two eigenvectors in $S^{(2,1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{sh}_1(aab - baa) &= 3aaab + aaba - abaa - 3baaa,\\ \mathsf{sh}_1(aab - 2aba + baa) &= 3\,(aaab - aaba - abaa + baaa),\end{aligned}$$

which are eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_4$ with eigenvalues 10 and 6, respectively. Moreover, they belong to $S^{(3,1)}$. The orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by these two vectors, with respect to the inner product in which words form an orthonormal basis, is 1-dimensional and yields an element of the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_4$:

$$aaab - 3aaba + 3abaa - baaa.$$

Remark 17 (Eigenbasis for $S^{(n-1,1)}$). By iterating sh_1, as in the above example, we obtain a method to recursively generate an eigenbasis for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ in $S^{(n-1,1)}$.

- Apply sh_1 to an eigenbasis for $S^{(n-2,1)}$; this gives $n-2$ eigenvectors with distinct positive integer eigenvalues.
- The last eigenvector belongs to the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$; it is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}\binom{n-1}{j-1}\overbrace{aa\cdots a}^{j-1}\,b\,\overbrace{aa\cdots a}^{n-j}.$$

To see that this lies in the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$, first observe that it is sufficient to show that this lies in the kernel of $\mathsf{R2T}_n$. Next, consider a term $a^{j-1}ba^{n-j}$ in the image of the above element under $\mathsf{R2T}_n$:

- it can arise from moving an occurrence of a from the factor a^{n-j} of $a^{j-1}ba^{n-j}$ to the end of the word–there are $n-j$ ways to do this;
- it can arise from moving an occurrence of a from the factor a^j of a^jba^{n-j-1} to the end of the word—there are j ways to do this;
- so the coefficient of this term is $(n-j)(-1)^{j-1}\binom{n-1}{j-1} + j(-1)^j\binom{n-1}{j} = 0$.

Consequently, we recover the following result of Uyemura-Reyes [UR02, Theorem 5.4] on the eigenvalues of the random-to-random Markov chain acting on $S^{(n-1,1)}$. Recall that the eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{n^2}\,\mathsf{R2R}_n$.

Corollary 18 (Uyemura-Reyes)**.** *The random-to-random Markov chain acting on $S^{(n-1,1)}$ has a simple eigenvalue $\frac{1}{n^2}\,(n(n-1)-j(j-1))$ for each $j \in \{2,3,\dots,n\}$.*

Uyemura-Reyes also constructed eigenvectors for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on $M^{(n-1,1)} \cong S^n \oplus S^{(n-1,1)}$ in which the entries of the eigenvectors are obtained by evaluating the discrete Chebyshev and Legendre orthogonal polynomials [UR02, Lemma 5.15].

4.1.4. *Level 2 lifting.* We need a modified version of the linear transformation sh_1 to construct eigenvectors in $S^{(2,2)}$ from eigenvectors in $S^{(2,1)}$.

In Example 16 we determined a basis of $S^{(2,1)}$ consisting of eigenvectors for $\mathsf{R2R}_3$. Naively, we apply sh_2 to one of these eigenvectors and obtain

$$\mathsf{sh}_2(aab - 2aba + baa) = 2aabb - abab - 4abba + 2baab - baba + 2bbaa.$$

This is not an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_4$; moreover, it does not even belong to $S^{(2,2)}$. However, we find that the above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{sh}_2(aab - 2aba + baa) = \\ \big(2aabb - abab - abba - baab - baba + 2bbaa\big) - 3\big(abba - baab\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The first summand of Equation (3) belongs to $S^{(2,2)}$, since by comparing with Example 14 we see that it is equal to

$$2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3&4\\\hline\end{array}} \;-\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2&4\\\hline\end{array}}\;.$$

Moreover, this is an eigenvector for $\mathsf{R2R}_4$ with eigenvalue 4.

The second summand of Equation (3) is also an eigenvector for $\mathsf{R2R}_4$ (eigenvalue 6). Moreover, it can be obtained directly from $aab - 2aba + baa$: start with

$$\mathsf{sh}_1(aab - 2aba + baa) = 3\,(aaab - aaba - abaa + baaa)\,,$$

and then substitute each word by the sum of all words obtained from it by replacing an occurrence of a (the first letter of the alphabet) by b (the second letter),

$$\Theta_{1,2}\left(\mathsf{sh}_1(aab - 2aba + baa)\right) = -6\,(abba - baab)\,.$$

To summarize, from the eigenvector $aab - 2aba + baa$ (eigenvalue 0), we obtain an eigenvector with eigenvalue 4 that belongs to $S^{(2,2)}$ by applying the operator

$$\mathsf{sh}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\Theta_{1,2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1\,. \tag{4}$$

Proposition 19 (Special case of Theorem 21)**.** *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ be a partition of n such that $\lambda + \vec{e}_2 = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is a partition of $n+1$.*

If $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ with eigenvalue ε, then

$$\mathcal{L}_2^\lambda(v) = \mathsf{sh}_2(v) + \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 - 2) - (\lambda_1 - 1)}\,\Theta_{1,2}\left(\mathsf{sh}_1(v)\right)$$

belongs to the Specht module $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_2}$ and

$$\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}\left(\mathcal{L}_2^\lambda(v)\right) = (\varepsilon + (n+1) + (\lambda_2 + 1) - 2)\;\mathcal{L}_2^\lambda(v).$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}_2^\lambda(v)$, if nonzero, is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ with eigenvalue

$$\varepsilon + (n+1) + (\lambda_2 + 1) - 2.$$

4.2. **Lifting eigenvectors.** We introduce linear transformations $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$, from S^λ to $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$, for $i \geq 1$, that map eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$. When $i = 1$, this operator coincides with the operator sh_1 from §4.1.3. For $i > 1$, they are modified versions of the sh_i operators (*cf.* §4.1.4).

4.2.1. *Lifting operators.* Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ be an ordered alphabet. Define the *replacement operator* $\Theta_{i,j}$ to be the linear transformation that maps a word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ to the sum of all the words that can be obtained from w by replacing exactly one occurrence of a_i in w by a_j; explicitly,

$$\Theta_{i,j}(w) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ w_k = a_i}} w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{a_j} \cdot w_{k+1} \cdots w_n.$$

Example 20. For the usual alphabet $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, we have

$$\Theta_{1,2}(aaab) = \boldsymbol{b}aab + a\boldsymbol{b}ab + aa\boldsymbol{b}b.$$

Recall that M^λ is the vector space spanned by words of evaluation λ and that the Specht module S^λ is a subspace of M^λ (*cf.* §4.1.2). Hence, $M^\lambda = S^\lambda \oplus (S^\lambda)^\perp$, where the orthogonal complement is computed with respect to the inner product on M^λ in which words form an orthonormal basis. Let $\mathsf{proj} : M^\lambda \to S^\lambda$ be the corresponding projection onto S^λ. (See §5.5.1 for a reformulation in terms of "isotypic projectors".)

Theorem 21. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition of n and let $1 \leq i \leq l+1$ be such that $\lambda + \vec{e}_i = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_l)$ is a partition of $n+1$.*

Consider the composition of $\mathsf{sh}_i|_{S^\lambda}$, $1 \leq i \leq l+1$, with the projection onto the submodule $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$ of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda : S^\lambda \xrightarrow{\mathsf{sh}_i} M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{proj}} S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}.$$

(a) $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$ maps eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ in S^λ to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ in $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$; explicitly, if $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ of eigenvalue ε, then $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda(v)$, if nonzero, is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon + (n+1) + (\lambda_i + 1) - i$.

(b) $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$ admits the following description:

$$\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq b_1 < \cdots < b_t < b_{t+1} = i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_{b_j} - b_j)} \Theta_{b_j, b_{j+1}} \right) \mathsf{sh}_{b_1}. \tag{5}$$

When λ is clear from the context, we will write $\mathcal{L}_i$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$.

Proof. §5 is dedicated to proving this result: (a) is a special case of Theorem 48; and (b) follows from Proposition 50. See the beginning of §5 for a detailed outline of the argument. □

The next two examples show that the operators from previous sections are special cases of Equation (5).

Example 22. Proposition 15 is a special case of Theorem 21. Indeed, if $i = 1$, then there is only one sequence satisfying the conditions of the summation in Equation (5), namely $(b_1) = (1)$. Thus, Equation (5) reduces to $\mathcal{L}_1^\lambda = \mathsf{sh}_1$.

Example 23. Let $\lambda = (2,1)$ and $i = 2$. There are two sequences satisfying the conditions of the summation in Equation (5), namely $(b_1) = (2)$ and $(b_1, b_2) = (1,2)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(2,1)} = \mathsf{sh}_2 + \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 - 2) - (\lambda_1 - 1)} \Theta_{1,2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1 = \mathsf{sh}_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{1,2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1,$$

which coincides with the linear transformation in Equation (4). We saw that this maps the eigenvector $aab - 2aba + baa \in S^{(2,1)}$ with eigenvalue 0 to an eigenvector in $S^{(2,2)}$ with eigenvalue $0 + (n+1) + (\lambda_2 + 1) - 2 = 4$.

4.2.2. *Graphical description.* Here is a graphical description of the operator $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$. Consider the following directed graph (refer to Figure 5 for an example).

- The vertices of the directed graph consist of λ and $\lambda + \vec{e}_j$ for $1 \le j \le i$;
- for each j, there is an arrow $\lambda \to \lambda + \vec{e}_j$ labelled sh_j; and
- for $j < k$, there is an arrow $\lambda + \vec{e}_j \to \lambda + \vec{e}_k$ labelled $\frac{1}{(\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_j - j)} \Theta_{j,k}$.

Then $\mathcal{L}_i^\lambda$ has one summand for each path in the directed graph that begins at λ and ends at $\lambda + \vec{e}_i$; the corresponding summand is the composition of the linear transformations labelling the edges.

Example 24. Referring to the directed graphs in Figure 5,

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(3,1,1)} = \mathsf{sh}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \Theta_{1,2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_3^{(3,2)} = \mathsf{sh}_3 - \frac{1}{5} \Theta_{1,3} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1 - \frac{1}{3} \Theta_{2,3} \circ \mathsf{sh}_2 + \frac{1}{15} \Theta_{2,3} \circ \Theta_{1,2}\, \mathsf{sh}_1 .$$

These two linear transformations, together with

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{(2,2,1)} = \mathsf{sh}_1 : S^{(2,2,1)} \longrightarrow S^{(3,2,1)},$$



FIGURE 5. Graphical depictions of $\mathcal{L}_2^{(3,1,1)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_3^{(3,2)}$.

are all the ways to obtain eigenvectors for $\mathsf{R2R}_6$ in $S^{(3,2,1)}$ using Theorem 21. Moreover, all eigenvectors for $\mathsf{R2R}_6$ in $S^{(3,2,1)}$ can be obtained in this way.

4.3. **Constructing eigenspaces and eigenbases.** In this section, we describe how to construct an explicit eigenbasis for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ starting with bases for the kernels of $\mathsf{R2R}_m$ acting on S^μ for all partitions μ with $|\mu| = m \leq n$.

As we will see, all the eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ, except those that lie in its kernel, can be obtained using the linear transformations $\mathcal{L}_i$.

More precisely, if $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ that does not belong to $\ker(\mathsf{R2R}_n)$, then we can write v in the form

$$v = \mathcal{L}_{i_1}\left(v^{(1)}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{i_2}\left(v^{(2)}\right) + \cdots + \mathcal{L}_{i_r}\left(v^{(r)}\right), \tag{6}$$

where $v^{(j)}$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n-1}$ in some Specht module S^μ with $\lambda = \mu + \vec{e}_{i_j}$. If $v^{(j)} \notin \ker(\mathsf{R2R}_{n-1})$, then we can apply the same reasoning to write $v^{(j)}$ in the form given by Equation (6). Continuing in this way, we can express v as a sum of images of elements in the kernel of some $\mathsf{R2R}_{n-k}$ under maps of the following form.

Definition 25. For any skew partition λ/μ, let $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_k$ be such that λ is obtained from μ by adding cells in rows $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ (counting multiplicities). Define

$$\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_2} \circ \mathcal{L}_{r_1}.$$

By the above, every eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ in S^λ is a sum of elements of the form $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}(u)$ for partitions $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ and some element $u \in S^\mu$ in the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}_{|\mu|}$. It will follow from Proposition 56 that $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu} = 0$ if λ/μ is not a horizontal strip (*i.e.*, if λ/μ contains two cells in the same column).



FIGURE 6. A depiction of Theorem 26, which describes the eigenspace decomposition for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ. Each cell represents an eigenspace and the number in a cell is the corresponding eigenvalue. Here, we see how each of the non-kernel eigenspaces in $S^{(3,1,1)}$ is the image of some $\ker(\mathsf{R2R}^\mu)$ under $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}$.

For a partition μ of m, let $\mathsf{R2R}^\mu$ denote the restriction of $\mathsf{R2R}_m$ to S^μ.

Theorem 26. *Let λ be a partition of n. Then, as vector spaces,*

$$S^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu\colon \lambda/\mu \text{ is a} \\ \text{horizontal strip}}} \mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\Big(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\Big).$$

Furthermore, the ε-eigenspace of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ is

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\mu\colon \lambda/\mu \text{ is a} \\ \text{horizontal strip} \\ \text{such that } \mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} \mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\Big(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\Big).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ denotes a basis of the kernel of $\mathsf{R2R}$ acting on S^μ, then

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\mu\colon \lambda/\mu \text{ is a} \\ \text{horizontal strip}}} \mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\left(\mathcal{K}_\mu\right)$$

is an eigenbasis for the action of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ on S^λ.

Proof. §5 is dedicated to proving this result: this is Proposition 57 combined with Proposition 54 and Theorem 21. □

Figure 6 and Figure 7 illustrate Theorem 26 by showing how the decomposition of $S^{(3,1,1)}$ and $S^{(3,2)}$ into eigenspaces for $\mathsf{R2R}_5$ is obtained from the kernels of $\mathsf{R2R}_m$ $(1 \le m \le n)$ acting on S^μ, as μ ranges over all partitions such that λ/μ is a horizontal strip.

Example 27 (Eigenbasis for $S^{(3,2)}$). Let $\lambda = (3,2)$. We use Theorem 26 to construct an eigenbasis for S^λ (*cf.* Figure 7.)



FIGURE 7. A depiction of Theorem 26, which describes the eigenspace decomposition for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ. Each cell represents an eigenspace and the number in a cell is the corresponding eigenvalue. Here, we see how the eigenspaces in $S^{(3,2)}$ arise as images of the kernels in $S^{(2,1)}$, $S^{(3,1)}$, $S^{(2,2)}$ and $S^{(3,2)}$ (*cf.* Example 27). Note that the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_2$ to the 10-eigenspace of $S^{(3,1)}$ is 0: the 10-eigenspace is the image of the kernel in $S^{(1,1)}$ under $\mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_1$; and the composition $\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}^{(3,2)/(1,1)}$ is 0 because $(3,2)/(1,1)$ is not a horizontal strip (*cf.* Proposition 56).

Since S^λ is 5-dimensional, we need 5 linearly independent eigenvectors. We get these by applying $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}$ to a basis $\mathcal{K}_\mu$ of $\ker(\mathsf{R2R}^\mu)$ for each of the following five horizontal strips λ/μ.

$\mu = (2)$ $\mu = (3)$ $\mu = (2,1)$ $\mu = (2,2)$ $\mu = (3,1)$ $\mu = (3,2)$

For $\mu = (2)$, we do not obtain any eigenvectors since $\ker(\mathsf{R2R}^{(2)}) = 0$ (because there are no desarrangement tableaux of shape (2)).

For $\mu = (3)$, we do not obtain any eigenvectors since $\ker(\mathsf{R2R}^{(3)}) = 0$ (because there are no desarrangement tableaux of shape (3)).

For $\mu = (2,1)$, we obtain an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 11:

$$\mathcal{K}_{(2,1)} = \{aab - 2aba + baa\} = \left\{ \mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}} \quad -2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}} \right\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(3,2)/(2,1)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{(2,1)}\right) &= \left(\left(\mathsf{sh}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\Theta_{1,2}\circ\mathsf{sh}_1\right)\circ\mathsf{sh}_1\right)\left(\mathcal{K}_{(2,1)}\right)\\ &= \{4aaabb - 2abaab - 2ababa - 2baaab - 2baaba + 4bbaaa\}\\ &= \left\{ 4\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline 4&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad -2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad +2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu = (2,2)$, we obtain an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 7:

$$\mathcal{K}_{(2,2)} = \{abab - abba - baab + baba\} = \left\{ \mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \right\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(3,2)/(2,2)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{(2,2)}\right) &= \mathsf{sh}_1\left(\mathcal{K}_{(2,2)}\right)\\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{r} 2aabab - 2aabba + abaab + ababa \\ -2abbaa - 3baaab + baaba + 2babaa \end{array} \right\}\\ &= \left\{ 2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 3&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad -2\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&5\\\hline 3&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad +\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad +\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu = (3,1)$, we obtain an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 5:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{(3,1)} &= \{aaab - 3aaba + 3abaa - baaa\}\\ &= \left\{ \mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline 4\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}} \quad -3\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}} \quad +3\,\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}} \right\};\\ \mathcal{L}^{(3,2)/(3,1)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{(3,1)}\right) &= \left(\mathsf{sh}_2 - \frac{1}{3}\,\Theta_{1,2}\circ\mathsf{sh}_1\right)\left(\mathcal{K}_{(3,1)}\right)\\ &= \left\{\frac{10}{3}\left(aaabb - aabab - aabba + abbaa + babaa - bbaaa\right)\right\}\\ &= \left\{ \frac{10}{3}\left(\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3\\\hline 4&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad -\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 3&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad -\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&5\\\hline 3&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \quad +\mathsf{w}_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} \right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu = (3,2)$, we have two eigenvectors in the kernel:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(3,2)/(3,2)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{(3,2)}\right) &= \mathcal{K}_{(3,2)} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} aabab - aabba - abaab + abbaa + baaba - babaa, \\ abaab - 2ababa + abbaa - baaab + 2baaba - babaa \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mathsf{w}\,\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&4\\\hline 3&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \quad -\mathsf{w}\,\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&5\\\hline 3&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \quad -\mathsf{w}\,\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \mathsf{w}\,\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&4\\\hline 2&5\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \quad -2\,\mathsf{w}\,\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5\\\hline 2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

4.4. **Frobenius characteristics of the eigenspaces.** We briefly restrict our attention to the set of words of evaluation $\nu = (1,1,\ldots,1)$ over the alphabet $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Since these words do not contain any repeated letters, we can think of them as permutations. This allows us to define an action of a permutation σ on a word w of evaluation $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ as their composition $\sigma \circ w$ as permutations.

The eigenspaces of the random-to-random operator are invariant subspaces for this (left) action of the symmetric group. Hence, one can ask for their characters or, equivalently, their Frobenius characteristics.

Theorem 28. *The eigenspaces of the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size n are left modules for the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The Frobenius characteristic of the $\frac{1}{n^2}\varepsilon$-eigenspace is*

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{horizontal strips } \lambda/\mu \\ \text{with } \operatorname{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} d^{\mu}\, s_{\lambda}, \tag{7}$$

where s_λ is the Schur function indexed by λ and d^μ denotes the number of desarrangement tableaux of shape μ.

Proof. This will follow from decomposing $M^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$ into a direct sum of two-sided $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules and then applying Theorem 26.

First note that $M^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$ is isomorphic to the two-sided regular representation of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (*i.e.*, the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$). Indeed, the left $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action defined above is the composition of permutations, and the right $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action, which corresponds to permutations acting on the positions of a word, is also the composition of permutations if the word has evaluation $(1,1,\ldots,1)$.

The indecomposable two-sided $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules are all of the form $(S^\lambda)^* \otimes S^\lambda$, where $(S^\lambda)^*$ is isomorphic to the left $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module indexed by λ (in particular, it also has Frobenius characteristic equal to the Schur function s_λ). Moreover, this expression in terms of tensor products neatly separates the left and right actions: the left action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $(S^\lambda)^* \otimes S^\lambda$ corresponds to acting by $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on the tensor factor $(S^\lambda)^*$, and the right action corresponds to acting on the right tensor factor S^λ.

Consequently, the decomposition of $M^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}$ into two-sided $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules is

$$M^{(1,1,\ldots,1)} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \left(S^\lambda\right)^* \otimes S^\lambda.$$

Moreover, since we are interested in the left module structure only, we can decompose the right tensor factors as vector spaces using Theorem 26. Hence, we have

the following isomorphism as left $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules:

$$\left(S^\lambda\right)^* \otimes S^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\text{horizontal strips } \lambda/\mu} \left(S^\lambda\right)^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\left(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\right).$$

By lumping together the horizontal strips λ/μ with the same value of $\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)$, we have that the $\frac{1}{n^2}\varepsilon$–eigenspace is equal to the following direct sum of left $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules:

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \text{ and} \\ \text{horizontal strips} \lambda/\mu \\ \text{with } \mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} \left(S^\lambda\right)^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\left(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\right).$$

The above contains one copy of $\left(S^\lambda\right)^*$ for each vector in a basis of $\mathcal{L}^{\lambda/\mu}\left(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\right)$, so its Frobenius characteristic is

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \text{ and} \\ \text{horizontal strips } \lambda/\mu \\ \text{with } \mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} s_\lambda \times \dim\left(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\mu\right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \text{ and} \\ \text{horizontal strips } \lambda/\mu \\ \text{with } \mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu)=\varepsilon}} s_\lambda \times d^\mu. \qquad \square$$

Example 29. With the help of `Sage` [Dev15], the Frobenius characteristics of all the eigenspaces for $n \leq 8$ were explicitly computed and appear in [RSW14, Figures 4–6 of Chapter VI; Figures 1–6 of Appendix A]. For instance, from this data, one has that the Frobenius characteristic of the $\frac{9}{36}$-eigenspace for the random-to-random operator acting on permutations of size 6 is

$$s_{(3,2,1)} + 2s_{(4,1,1)} + 2s_{(4,2)},$$

which corresponds to the following horizontal strips λ/μ for which $\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\mu) = 9$.

Remark 30 (Frobenius characteristic for random-to-top eigenspaces). Since the random-to-random shuffle is the symmetrization of the random-to-top shuffle, the kernels of the associated transition matrices coincide. The Frobenius characteristic of this eigenspace appears in [RW04, Proposition 2.3]; it is

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{desarrangement} \\ \text{tableaux } T \text{ of size } n}} s_{\mathrm{shape}(T)} \quad = \quad \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} d^\lambda\, s_\lambda.$$

Futhermore, Reiner and Wachs in unpublished work computed the Frobenius characteristic of *all* the eigenspaces for the random-to-top shuffle: the Frobenius characteristic of the $\frac{j}{n}$-eigenspace is obtained by summing Equation (7) over partitions μ of size j:

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{horizontal strips} \\ \lambda/\mu \text{ with } |\mu|=j}} d^\mu s^\lambda.$$

A proof appears in [RSW14, Theorem 9.5].

5. Proofs of Theorem 21 and Theorem 26

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 21 on lifting eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$; as well as Theorem 26 on the decomposition of the Specht module S^λ into eigenspaces for $\mathsf{R2R}_n$.

Although the theory that we develop is valid for any ordered alphabet A, for simplicity of notation and without loss of generality we will suppose that the alphabet is $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. However, in the examples we will continue to use the usual lexicographically ordered alphabet $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ in order to distinguish words from permutations and coefficients.

5.1. Outline of the proofs.

We begin with a detailed outline of the proofs. The argument is divided into several parts with each part in its own subsection.

§5.2. We begin by establishing the setting for the argument. We work in the algebra of words and interpret the shuffling operators as linear endomorphisms of this space. More specifically, we identify the random-to-random operator with an element of the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acting on the vector space $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ whose basis is the set of words of length n.

We then reduce the problem of determining the spectrum and eigenspaces of the random-to-random shuffle acting on $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ to determining these on indecomposable modules for the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. This reduction technique, sometimes called the *Fourier transform*, is constructive: there are explicit decompositions of $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ into indecomposable $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules. Consequently, it suffices to determine the spectrum and eigenspaces of the random-to-random operator on all the Specht modules, since these are all the indecomposable $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules up to isomorphism.

§5.3. Next, we prove the following identity relating the random-to-random operators $\mathsf{R2R}_n$, the shuffling operators sh_a, and the replacement operators $\Theta_{b,a}$:

$$\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n = (n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a + \sum_{1 \le b \le n} \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a}\,.$$

These are linear transformations from $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ to $M^{\langle n+1\rangle}$. See Theorem 37.

§5.4. We restrict the above identity to the Specht submodules S^λ. The operators $\Theta_{b,a}$ are morphisms of $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules that vanish on S^λ for $b > a$ (Lemma 43), leading to the following identity (Corollary 44):

$$(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n)\Big|_{S^\lambda} = (n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a\Big|_{S^\lambda} + \sum_{1 \le b \le a} (\mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a})\Big|_{S^\lambda}.$$

§5.5. The linear transformation above maps S^λ to $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$. In Theorem 48, we compose this with the projection $\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_r}$ to the submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$ that is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_r}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_r} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a\right)\Big|_{S^\lambda} - \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_r} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a\right) \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n\Big|_{S^\lambda} \\ = ((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r)\,\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_r} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a\right)\Big|_{S^\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, this proves that if $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ with eigenvalue ε, then $\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_r}(\mathsf{sh}_a(v))$ is either 0 or it is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon + (n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r$. This proves Theorem 21(a).

§5.6. In Proposition 50 we prove that $\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a$ coincides with the linear transformations $\mathcal{L}_a^\lambda$ defined in Theorem 21(b):

$$\mathcal{L}_a^\lambda = \sum_{1 \le b_1 < \cdots < b_t < b_{t+1} = a} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{(\lambda_a - a) - (\lambda_{b_j} - b_j)} \Theta_{b_j, b_{j+1}} \right) \mathsf{sh}_{b_1} .$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 21.

§5.7. Next, we prove that every eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^\lambda}$, except those that lie in the kernel, is a linear combination of vectors in the image of some $\mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^{\lambda-\vec{e}_a}}$ under $\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_a$ (Proposition 52).

§5.8. Repeated application of the previous result implies that the eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^\lambda}$ are linear combinations of elements belonging to subspaces of the form

$$\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\nu^{(s)}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{b_s}\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\nu^{(2)}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{b_2}\right) \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\nu^{(1)}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{b_1}\right) (\ker \mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^\mu}) .$$

We study the above composition of maps (Proposition 54) and show that S^λ is the direct sum of these subspaces, one for each horizontal strip λ/μ (Proposition 57). This proves Theorem 26.

5.2. **Fourier transform reduction.** It turns out that in order to understand the spectrum of the random-to-random shuffle, it suffices to understand the behaviour on certain subspaces. This is sometimes called the *Fourier transform reduction*.

In this section, we establish the setting for our argument. We will work in the algebra of words and interpret the shuffling operators as certain linear operators acting on this space. We then explain why it suffices to reduce the study of these operators to the study of their action on the Specht submodules.

5.2.1. *Algebra of words.* Let A be an alphabet; that is, $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ is an ordered finite set and its elements are called *letters*. View the letters of the alphabet A as *non-commuting* indeterminates and let $\mathbb{C}\langle A\rangle$ denote the algebra of polynomials in the variables A with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, $\mathbb{C}\langle A\rangle$ is the $\mathbb{C}$–vector space with basis the set of finite words over A. The sum and product of two polynomials is computed in the usual way, with the product of two words u and v defined as the concatenation uv. We call $\mathbb{C}\langle A\rangle$ the *algebra of words over* A (or *free associative algebra generated by* A).

5.2.2. *Shuffling operators as elements of the group algebra of the symmetric group.* The next observation is a straightforward re-interpretation of the shuffling operators $\mathsf{T2R}_n$, $\mathsf{R2T}_n$ and $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ in terms of the action of permutation on words. Specifically, it identifies these operators with linear transformations associated with certain elements of the group algebra of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

Let $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle A\rangle$ spanned by words of length n. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of degree n acts on $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ by permuting the positions of the letters of a word. Explicitly, for a word $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ and a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$,

$$w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \cdot \sigma = w_{\sigma(1)} w_{\sigma(2)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n)}.$$

Example 31. If $w = cabcbaaa$ and $\sigma = 71842563, \tau = 26347158 \in \mathfrak{S}_8$, then

$$w \cdot \sigma = w_7 w_1 w_8 w_4 w_2 w_5 w_6 w_3 = acacabab$$
$$(w \cdot \sigma) \cdot \tau = acacabab \cdot 26347158 = cbacaaab$$

$$w \cdot (\sigma\tau) = cabcbaaa \cdot 15846723 = cbacaaab$$

In particular, this example exemplifies that this is indeed a *right* $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action.

Definition 32. Let w be a word of length n.

(1) $\mathsf{R2T}_n(w) = w \cdot \rho_n$, where $\rho_n = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{1 \le i < n} (i, i+1, \dots, n)$.

(2) $\mathsf{T2R}_n(w) = w \cdot \tau_n$, where $\tau_n = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{1 \le i < n} (n, n-1, \dots, i)$.

(3) $\mathsf{R2R}_n(w) = w \cdot \xi_n$, where

$$\xi_n = \rho_n\tau_n = n \cdot \mathbf{1} \quad + \sum_{1 \le u < v \le n} (u, u+1, \dots, v-1, v) + \sum_{1 \le v < u \le n} (u, u-1, \dots, v+1, v).$$

5.2.3. *Reduction to Specht modules.* Since $\mathsf{T2R}_n$, $\mathsf{R2T}_n$ and $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ are given by the action of an element of the group algebra, any submodule of an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module is stable for the action of these operators. Concretely, this means that understanding the action of these operators on a $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module reduces to understanding their action on certain submodules.

More precisely, suppose that an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module M decomposes into a direct sum of submodules $M = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_r$. Furthermore, suppose that each N_i is *indecomposable*: that is, N_i cannot be expressed as a direct sum of non-trivial submodules.

Let ξ be an element of the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Since each submodule N_i is stable for the action of ξ, picking a basis of N_1, a basis of N_2, and so on, gives a basis of M in which the matrices expressing the action of ξ are all block diagonal: the blocks on the diagonal are the matrices expressing the action of ξ on the submodules N_i.

Accordingly, the spectrum and eigenspaces of ξ acting on M are completely determined by the spectra and eigenspaces of ξ acting on the indecomposable modules for $\mathfrak{S}_n$. These are the "Specht modules". (The definition of the Specht modules together with an explicit decomposition of the modules $M^{\langle n \rangle}$ will be given in §5.4.)

5.3. **An identity in the algebra of words.** The goal of this section is to prove the following identity that relates the random-to-random operators $\mathsf{R2R}$, the shuffling operators sh, and the replacement operators Θ:

$$\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n = (n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a + \sum_{1 \le b \le n} \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a}\,. \tag{8}$$

This is an identity of linear transformations from the subspace spanned by words of length n to the subspace spanned by words of length $n+1$.

Let $M^{\langle n \rangle}$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$ spanned by words of length n. Recall that $\mathsf{sh}_a^n : M^{\langle n \rangle} \to M^{\langle n+1 \rangle}$ denotes the linear operator that maps a word to the sum of all words obtained by inserting the letter a; explicitly,

$$\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w_1 \cdots w_n) = \sum_{0 \le j \le n} w_1 \cdots w_j \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \cdot w_{j+1} \cdots w_n.$$

Let $\partial_a^n : M^{\langle n \rangle} \to M^{\langle n-1 \rangle}$ denote the linear operator that maps a word to the sum of all words obtained by removing exactly one occurrence of the letter a:

$$\partial_a^n(w_1 \cdots w_n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ w_j = a}} w_1 \cdots w_{j-1} w_{j+1} \cdots w_n.$$

To simplify notation, we write sh_a and ∂_a instead of sh_a^n and ∂_a^n when n is determined by the context.

Example 33.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{sh}_a(aaba) &= 3\,aaaba + 2\,aabaa, \\ \partial_a(aaba) &= 2\,aba + aab, \\ \partial_b(aaba) &= aaa. \end{aligned}$$

The next result shows that top-to-random, random-to-top and random-to-random can be described in terms of sh and ∂.

Proposition 34.

(1) For any word $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ of length n,

$$\mathsf{T2R}_n(w) = \mathsf{sh}_{w_n}^{n-1}(w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}).$$

(2) For any word w of length n,

$$\mathsf{R2T}_n(w) = \sum_{a \in A} \partial_a^n(w) \cdot a,$$

where $\cdot$ denotes concatenation of words.

(3) The random-to-random operator is the composition of the random-to-top operator with the top-to-random operator:

$$\mathsf{R2R}_n = \sum_{a \in A} \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1} \circ \partial_a^n = \mathsf{T2R}_n \circ \mathsf{R2T}_n\,.$$

Proof. The shuffling process underlying the $\mathsf{T2R}_n$ operator pops the last letter of w and inserts it into the word $w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$. This proves (1), and (2) is proved similarly.

The shuffling process underlying the $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ operator removes a letter i from a word w, which coincides with ∂_i^n, and then inserts i into the word, which coincides with sh_i^{n-1}. This proves the first equality. For the second equality, we compute the composition:

$$(\mathsf{T2R}_n \circ \mathsf{R2T}_n)(w) = \sum_{a \in A} \mathsf{T2R}_n(\partial_a^n(w) \cdot a) = \sum_{a \in A} (\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1} \circ \partial_a^n)(w) = \mathsf{R2R}_n\,. \qquad \square$$

In light of Proposition 34, it is opportune to understand the relationships between sh_i and ∂_j. It is straightforward to verify that sh_i and ∂_i are adjoint operators with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $M^{\langle n \rangle}$ that makes the basis of words an orthonormal basis; explicitly, for w a word of length n and u a word of length $n+1$,

$$\langle \mathsf{sh}_i^n(w), u \rangle = \langle w, \partial_i^{n+1}(u) \rangle\,. \tag{9}$$

Although these operators commute amongst themselves, that is,

$$\mathsf{sh}_a^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n = \mathsf{sh}_b^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n \tag{10}$$

$$\partial_b^n \circ \partial_a^{n+1} = \partial_a^n \circ \partial_b^{n+1}, \tag{11}$$

it is not true that sh_a and ∂_b commute. However, we can described what happens using the replacement operators defined in §4.2.1; recall that

$$\Theta_{i,j}(w) = \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\ w_k=i}} w_1\cdots w_{k-1}\cdot j\cdot w_{k+1}\cdots w_n.$$

Lemma 35. *For all $a, b \in [n]$,*

$$\partial_b^{n+1}\circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n = \Theta_{b,a}^n + \delta_{a,b}(n+1)\mathbf{1} \tag{12}$$

$$\Theta_{a,b}^{n+1}\circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n\circ\Theta_{a,b}^n = \mathsf{sh}_b^n \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_a^{n+1}\circ\Theta_{b,a}^{n+1} - \Theta_{b,a}^n\circ\partial_a^{n+1} = \partial_b^{n+1} \tag{14}$$

Proof. Equation (12). We construct a bijection between the words appearing in $\left(\partial_b^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n\right)(w)$ and the words appearing in $(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n)(w)+\Theta_{b,a}^n(w)+\delta_{a,b}(n+1)w$.

Every word u appearing in $\left(\partial_b^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n\right)(w)$ corresponds to a pair (i,j) such that u is obtained from w by inserting a at position i and then removing the j-th letter provided that it is b; whereas every word u appearing in $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$ corresponds to a pair (i',j') such that u is obtained from w by removing $w_{j'}$, provided that $w_{j'}=b$, and then inserting a at position i'.

The case $i=j$ can only occur if $a=b$ since it corresponds to inserting a at position i only to then remove it. So when $a=b$, we get $n+1$ copies of w. This accounts for the terms $\delta_{a,b}(n+1)w$.

If $i<j$, then

$$u = w_1\cdots w_{i-1}\,a\,w_i\cdots w_{j-2}\,w_j\cdots w_n \qquad\text{and}\qquad w_{j-1}=b.$$

This word appears in $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$ since it can be obtained from w by removing $w_{j-1}=b$ and inserting a at position i. This corresponds to the pair $(i',j')=(i,j-1)$ of $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$. Hence, the pairs (i,j) with $i<j$ correspond to the pairs (i',j') with $i'\le j'$.

If $i>j$, then

$$u = w_1\cdots w_{j-1}\,w_{j+1}\cdots w_{i-1}\,a\,w_i\cdots w_n \qquad\text{and}\qquad w_j=b.$$

This word appears in $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$ since it can be obtained from w by removing $w_j=b$ and inserting a at position $i-1$. This corresponds to the pair $(i',j')=(i-1,j)$ of $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$. Hence, the pairs (i,j) with $i>j$ correspond to the pairs (i',j') with $i'\ge j'$.

Consequently, we obtain all the pairs (i',j') corresponding to the terms appearing in $\left(\mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\circ\partial_b^n\right)(w)$ as well as a second copy of the pairs (i',j') with $i'=j'$. The pairs (i',i') correspond to removing $w_{i'}=b$ and inserting a into position i'; that is, they correspond to the terms of $\Theta_{b,a}(w)$.

Equation (13). If $b=a$, then $\Theta_{a,b}^n(w) = \mathrm{mult}_a(w)\,w$, where $\mathrm{mult}_a(w)$ denotes the number of occurrences of a in w. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\Theta_{a,a}^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n\circ\Theta_{a,a}^n\right)(w)\\ &\quad= (\mathrm{mult}_a(w)+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w) - \mathrm{mult}_a(w)\,\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w) = \mathsf{sh}_a^n(w).\end{aligned}$$

If $b\neq a$, then use Equation (12) and Equation (10) to write:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{a,b}^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n &= \partial_a^{n+2}\circ\mathsf{sh}_b^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n\circ\partial_a^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n\\ &= \partial_a^{n+2}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n\circ\partial_a^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \Theta_{a,b}^n &= \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \partial_a^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^{n-1} \circ \partial_a^n \\ &= \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \partial_a^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1} \circ \partial_a^n .\end{aligned}$$

The difference is then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta_{a,b}^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \Theta_{a,b}^n \\ &= \left(\partial_a^{n+2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^{n+1} - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \partial_a^{n+1}\right) \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \left(\partial_a^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1} \circ \partial_a^n\right) \\ &= \left(\Theta_{a,a}^{n+1} + (n+2)\mathbf{1}\right) \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \left(\Theta_{a,a}^n + (n+1)\mathbf{1}\right) \\ &= \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \left(\Theta_{a,a}^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \Theta_{a,a}^n\right) = \mathsf{sh}_b^n\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\Theta_{a,a}(w) = \mathrm{mult}_a(w)\, w$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\Theta_{a,a}^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n - \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \Theta_{a,a}^n\right)(w) \\ &\quad = \mathrm{mult}_a(w)\, \mathsf{sh}_b^n(w) - \mathsf{sh}_b^n(\mathrm{mult}_a(w)\, w) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Equation (14). Use Equation (13) and the fact that the adjoint of sh_a^n is ∂_a^{n+1} and the adjoint of $\Theta_{a,b}^n$ is $\Theta_{b,a}^n$. □

Remark 36. In [RSW14], Reiner, Saliola and Welker introduced and studied a family of operators $\nu_{(n-k,1^k)}$, for $1 \le k \le n$, that includes the random-to-random operator. Using the notation of this paper, they admit the following description:

$$\nu_{(n-k,1^k)} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k} \mathsf{sh}_{i_1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{i_k} \circ \partial_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \partial_{i_2} \circ \partial_{i_1} .$$

Setting $k = 1$ recovers the random-to-random operator (Proposition 34).

In [RSW14, Theorem 1.1], it is proved that the operators from this family pairwise commute. The results in Lemma 35, which describe the relationship between $\mathsf{sh}_a \circ \partial_b$ and $\partial_b \circ \mathsf{sh}_a$, can be used to give another proof of this fact. However, this proof is rather involved and not very conceptual, so we leave the details to the interested reader.

Equipped with these results, we can now prove Equation (8) as well as a useful reformulation.

Theorem 37. *For all $a \in [n]$,*

$$\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n = (n+1)\, \mathsf{sh}_a^n + \sum_{1 \le b \le n} \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \Theta_{b,a}^n \tag{15}$$

$$= \mathsf{sh}_a^n + \sum_{1 \le b \le n} \Theta_{b,a}^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^n . \tag{16}$$

Proof. Since $\mathsf{R2R}_n = \sum_{b \le n} \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \partial_b$, and $\partial_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a = 0$ (because $a \ne n+1$),

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \le b \le n+1} \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \partial_b^{n+1}\right) \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \left(\sum_{1 \le b \le n} \mathsf{sh}_b^{n-1} \circ \partial_b^n\right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \le b \le n} \left(\mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \partial_b^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^{n-1} \circ \partial_b^n\right).\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 35 and $\mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1} = \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^{n-1}$ (Equation (10)), it follows that

$$\mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \partial_b^{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^n - \mathsf{sh}_a^n \circ \mathsf{sh}_b^{n-1} \circ \partial_b^n = \mathsf{sh}_b^n \circ \Theta_{b,a}^n + \delta_{a,b}(n+1)\, \mathsf{sh}_b^n .$$

This establishes the first equality. For the second equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_a^n-\mathsf{sh}_a^n\circ\mathsf{R2R}_n&=(n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a^n+\sum_{1\le b\le n}\mathsf{sh}_b^n\circ\Theta_{b,a}^n\\&=(n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a^n+\sum_{1\le b\le n}\left(\Theta_{b,a}^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_b^n-\mathsf{sh}_a^n\right)\\&=\mathsf{sh}_a^n+\sum_{1\le b\le n}\Theta_{b,a}^{n+1}\circ\mathsf{sh}_b^n\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

5.4. **Restriction to Specht modules S^λ.** Theorem 37 is an identity of linear transformations from M^λ to $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$. In this section, we restrict this identity to the Specht submodule S^λ of M^λ. We begin by recalling the definition of S^λ as well as the decomposition of M^λ into Specht modules, which leads to a useful simplification of the identity in Theorem 37.

5.4.1. *Specht modules.* For a sequence α of non-negative integers that sum to n, denote by M^α the subspace of $M^{\langle n\rangle}$ spanned by the words of evaluation α. Each subspace M^α is invariant under the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action, and so it is an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module. Note that $M^\alpha\cong M^\beta$ as $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules if and only if α is a rearrangement of β.

Each M^α contains a distinguished submodule S^α called the *Specht submodule*. We recall its construction for the case of a partition λ; for the general case, use that $M^\alpha\cong M^{\overleftarrow{\alpha}}$, where $\overleftarrow{\alpha}$ is the rearrangement of α into a weakly decreasing sequence.

Let λ be a partition of n and let t be a tableau of shape λ. Let $\mathrm{word}(t)$ denote the word in which the i-th letter is r, where r is the number of the row of t that contains i. Note that the evaluation of $\mathrm{word}(t)$ is λ.

Next, let N_t denote the following element of the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$

$$N_t=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathsf{ColStab}(t)}\mathsf{sign}(\sigma)\sigma,$$

and let

$$\mathsf{w}_t=\mathrm{word}(t)\cdot N_t=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathsf{ColStab}(t)}(-1)^{\mathsf{sign}(\sigma)}\,\mathrm{word}(\sigma(t)),$$

where the sums range over all the permutations $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$ that permute the entries in the columns of t in all possible ways.

The *Specht submodule* S^λ of M^λ is the subspace

$$S^\lambda=\mathrm{span}\left\{\mathsf{w}_t : t\in\mathsf{SYT}_\lambda\right\},$$

where SYT_λ denotes the set of standard (Young) tableaux of shape λ.

Example 38. If t and s are the following tableaux, respectively,

$$t=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 2&8&6&7\\\hline 5&3\\\cline{1-2}1&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}\qquad s=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 5&3&7&1\\\hline 6&8\\\cline{1-2}2&4\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}$$

then $\mathrm{word}(t)=31232111$ and $\mathrm{word}(s)=13131212$. These are the words w and $w\cdot\sigma$ of Example 31 (with $a=1$, $b=2$, $c=3$), which illustrates the identity $\mathrm{word}(\sigma^{-1}(t))=\mathrm{word}(t)\cdot\sigma$ since $t=\sigma(s)$.

If $\mathfrak{S}_I$ denotes the subgroup of permutations of the elements of the set I, then

$$\mathsf{ColStab}(t)=\mathfrak{S}_{\{2,5,1\}}\times\mathfrak{S}_{\{8,3,4\}}\times\mathfrak{S}_{\{6\}}\times\mathfrak{S}_{\{7\}},$$

$$\mathsf{ColStab}(s) = \mathfrak{S}_{\{5,6,2\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{3,8,4\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{7\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{1\}},$$

Remark 39. A common way to define the Specht modules is as the span of *polytabloids* $e_t = N_t \cdot \{t\}$, where $\{t\}$ is the *tabloid* corresponding to the tableau t [Jam78, Sag01]. Our definition encodes a tabloid $\{t\}$ as word(t). This encoding is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules as the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action on words corresponds to the usual $\mathfrak{S}_n$–action on tabloids: word$(t) \cdot \sigma =$ word$(\sigma^{-1}(t))$ (*cf.* Example 38).

5.4.2. *Decomposition of M^λ.* Up to isomorphism, the Specht modules S^λ, for $\lambda \vdash n$, are all the irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules. Consequently, M^λ decomposes into a direct sum of submodules isomorphic to a Specht module. The decomposition of the modules M^λ into irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules is known as Young's Rule [Sag01, Theorem 2.11.2], which we describe next.

For each tableau T of size n, there is an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphism

$$\Theta_T : M^{\text{shape}(T)} \to M^{\text{eval}(T)}$$

that embeds the Specht module $S^{\text{shape}(T)}$ into $M^{\text{eval}(T)}$. Explicitly, Θ_T is the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphism defined on the increasing word with evaluation equal to shape(T) as the sum of all the words that can be obtained from T by permuting the entries in the rows and then concatenating the rows.

Example 40. Consider the morphism

$$\Theta_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2&1&1\\\hline 3&2\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}} : M^{(3,2)} \to M^{(2,2,1)}.$$

There are six words that can be obtained by permuting the elements in the rows of the tableau, thus

$$\Theta_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2&1&1\\\hline 3&2\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}}(11122) \quad = \quad \mathbf{21132} + \mathbf{12132} + \mathbf{11232} + \mathbf{21123} + \mathbf{12123} + \mathbf{11223}.$$

Since this is a $\mathfrak{S}_5$–morphism, the image of any other word $11122 \cdot \sigma$ in $M^{(3,2)}$ is

$$\Theta_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2&1&1\\\hline 3&2\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}}(11122 \cdot \sigma) \quad = \quad \Theta_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 2&1&1\\\hline 3&2\\\cline{1-2}\end{array}}(11122) \cdot \sigma.$$

Proposition 41 ([Sag01, Theorem 2.11.2])**.** *Let SSYT_n denote the set of semistandard tableaux of n and $K_{\lambda,\mu}$ the set of semistandard tableaux of shape λ and evaluation μ. Then*

$$M^\mu = \bigoplus_{\substack{T \in \mathsf{SSYT}_n \\ \text{eval}(T)=\mu}} \Theta_T\left(S^{\text{shape}(T)}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu} K_{\lambda,\mu}\, S^\lambda$$

where $\trianglerighteq$ denotes dominance order on partitions. In particular, each M^α contains exactly one copy of S^α.

5.4.3. *Restriction of Equation (15) to the Specht module S^λ.* Let λ be a partition of n. The linear transformations $\Theta_{b,a}$ are $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphisms. Specifically, they are special cases of the morphisms Θ_T defined above.

Example 42.

$$\Theta_{1,2}(1112) = 2112 + 1212 + 1122 = \Theta_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1&1&2\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}}(1112).$$

Consequently, the restriction of $\Theta_{b,a}$ to S^λ will either be the trivial morphism or an isomorphism.

Lemma 43. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\alpha = \lambda - \vec{e}_b + \vec{e}_a$. If $\Theta^n_{b,a}$ is nonzero on S^λ, then λ dominates the non-increasing rearrangement of α. Consequently, if $a < b$, then $\Theta^n_{b,a}(S^\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\Theta^n_{b,a}$ is nonzero on S^λ. The map $\Theta^n_{b,a}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphism from M^λ to M^α, and so the restriction of $\Theta^n_{b,a}$ to S^λ is an $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphism that maps S^λ into M^α. Since $\Theta^n_{b,a}\,|_{S^\lambda} \neq 0$, by Schur's lemma it is an isomorphism, and so M^α contains a submodule isomorphic to S^λ.

Case 1: Suppose α is a partition. Then, by Young's rule, M^α contains a submodule isomorphic to S^λ if and only if λ dominates $\alpha = \lambda - \vec{e}_b + \vec{e}_a$, which happens if and only if $b \leq a$.

Case 2: Suppose α is not a partition. By rearranging the entries of α we obtain a partition μ. As $\mathfrak{S}_n$–modules, $M^\mu \cong M^\alpha$ and so by Young's rule λ dominates μ. If $a < b$, then

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} \lambda = (\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_{a-1} & \lambda_a & \cdots & \lambda_b & \cdots) \\ \alpha = (\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_{a-1} & \lambda_a + 1 & \cdots & \lambda_b - 1 & \cdots) \end{array}$$

which would imply that λ does not dominate μ, a contradiction. □

Corollary 44. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and $a \in [n]$. Then,*

$$(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n)\Big|_{S^\lambda} = (n+1)\,\mathsf{sh}_a\Big|_{S^\lambda} + \sum_{1 \leq b \leq a} (\mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a})\Big|_{S^\lambda}.$$

5.5. **Projection to the Specht module $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$.** The restriction to S^λ of the operator $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n$ defines a linear transformation from S^λ to $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$. We compose this with the canonical projection onto $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$. We begin by reviewing the definition and properties of these projectors and culminate with a proof of Theorem 21(a) (see Theorem 48).

5.5.1. *Isotypic projectors.* Next we want to consider the projection of

$$(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n)(S^\lambda)$$

onto the S^μ–isotypic component of M^μ, where $\mu = \lambda + \vec{e}_a$. We begin by recalling the definition of the isotypic projector associated with a simple module.

Let G be any finite group. For any simple G–module W with character denoted by χ_W, let $\mathfrak{p}_W$ denote the following element of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ of G:

$$\mathfrak{p}_W = \frac{\dim(W)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)}\, g.$$

This element defines a projection from any G–module V onto its W–isotypic component:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathsf{isoproj}_W : V & \longrightarrow & V \\ v & \longmapsto & v \cdot \mathfrak{p}_W. \end{array}$$

To see that $\mathsf{isoproj}_W$ is a morphism of G–modules, first note that $\mathfrak{p}_W$ lies in the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$: for any $h \in G$,

(17)
$$\mathfrak{p}_W\, h = \frac{\dim(W)}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_W(g)}\, gh = \frac{\dim(W)}{|G|} \sum_{hg'h^{-1} \in G} \overline{\chi_W(hg'h^{-1})}\, hg' = h\, \mathfrak{p}_W(v),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that χ_W is constant on conjugacy classes. Then $\mathsf{isoproj}_W$ is a morphism of G–modules, since for any $g \in G$,

$$\mathsf{isoproj}_W(v \cdot g) = v \cdot (g\mathfrak{p}_W) = v \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_W g) = \mathsf{isoproj}_W(v) \cdot g. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, $\mathsf{isoproj}_W$ commutes with any G–module endomorphism φ of V, since

$$\mathsf{isoproj}_W(\varphi(v)) = \varphi(v) \cdot \mathfrak{p}_W = \varphi(v \cdot \mathfrak{p}_W) = \varphi(\mathsf{isoproj}_W(v)). \tag{19}$$

5.5.2. *Projection onto $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$.* Although $\mathsf{sh}_a^n(S^\lambda)$ is not a submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$, we can show that it is contained in a multiplicity-free submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$.

Lemma 45. *The subspace $\mathsf{sh}_a^n(S^\lambda)$ is contained in a $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$ that is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_\mu S^\mu$, where μ ranges over the partitions obtained from λ by adding a cell in row r with $r \le a$.*

Proof. Let w be a word of length n. Then

$$\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w) = w \sqcup\!\sqcup a,$$

where $\sqcup\!\sqcup$ denotes the *shuffle product* of words. Moreover, if b is a letter that does not occur in w, then

$$\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w) = \Theta_{b,a}(w \sqcup\!\sqcup b).$$

Let $b = \ell(\lambda) + 1$ (so that b is a letter not occuring in any word $w \in M^\lambda$). Then

$$S^\lambda \sqcup\!\sqcup b = \left\{x \sqcup\!\sqcup b : x \in S^\lambda\right\}$$

is contained in the $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_b}$ generated by $x \cdot b$ with $x \in S^\lambda$:

$$N = \left\langle \left\{x \cdot b : x \in S^\lambda\right\} \right\rangle.$$

The submodule N is isomorphic to the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_1}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}}(S^\lambda \otimes S^1)$ (see [Jam78]). Then, by the branching rule for representations of the symmetric group, N decomposes as the multiplicity-free direct sum of the Specht modules S^μ, where μ is a partition of $n+1$ that contains λ.

Since $\mathsf{sh}_a^n(w) = \Theta_{b,a}(w \sqcup\!\sqcup b)$, it follows that

$$\mathsf{sh}_a^n(S^\lambda) \subseteq \Theta_{b,a}(N) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \supseteq \lambda} \Theta_{b,a}(S^\mu).$$

Since $\Theta_{b,a}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–module morphism, $\Theta_{b,a}(S^\mu)$ is either 0 or isomorphic to S^μ. Since these are submodules of $\Theta_{b,a}(M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_b}) \subseteq M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$, it follows that μ dominates $\lambda + \vec{e}_a$. So μ is obtained from λ by adding a cell in row r with $r \le a$. □

Definition 46. For partitions $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu \vdash n+1$ and $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)+1\}$, let $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}$ denote the restriction of $\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a : M^\lambda \to M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$ to S^λ:

$$\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} = \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a\right)\big|_{S^\lambda} : S^\lambda \to M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}. \tag{20}$$

Note that the image of $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}$ is contained in the S^μ–isotypic component of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$. Moreover, by Lemma 45, the subspace $\mathsf{sh}_a(S^\lambda)$ is contained in a submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$ isomorphic to a direct sum of Specht modules S^μ, where μ ranges over the partitions obtained from λ by adding a cell in row r with $r \le a$. Hence, if $\mu \neq \lambda + \vec{e}_r$ with $1 \le r \le a$, then $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} = 0$.

Lemma 47. *For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l) \vdash n$, $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l+1\}$, and $\mu = \lambda + \vec{e}_r$ with $1 \le r \le a$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} - \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ = ((n+1) + (\lambda_a + 1) - a)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} + \sum_{r \le b < a} \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. By Corollary 44,

$$(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n)\Big|_{S^\lambda} = (n+1)\, \mathsf{sh}_a \Big|_{S^\lambda} + \sum_{1 \le b \le a} (\mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a})\Big|_{S^\lambda}.$$

Since $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ is given by the action of an element of the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ (Definition 32) and $\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–module morphism, $\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu$ and $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ commute, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} - \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ = (n+1)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} + \sum_{1 \le b \le a} \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a}\right)\Big|_{S^\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By Lemma 35, $\mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a} = \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b - \mathsf{sh}_a$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \Theta_{b,a} &= \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_b - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a \\ &= \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_b - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu$ commutes with $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–module morphisms (see Equation (19)). Thus, Equation (22) becomes

$$((n+1) - a)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} + \sum_{1 \le b \le a} \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu}. \tag{23}$$

Next, we prove that $\Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu} = 0$ for $b < r$. By Lemma 45, $\mathsf{sh}_b(S^\lambda)$ is contained in a submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_b}$ that is isomorphic to a direct sum of the modules $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}$, $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_2}$, …, $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_b}$. Hence, $\mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu} = 0$ if $\mu = \lambda + \vec{e}_r$ with $r > b$. Thus, Equation (23) becomes

$$((n+1) - a)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} + \sum_{r \le b \le a} \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu}. \tag{24}$$

Finally, consider the case $b = a$. If w is a word that contains $\lambda_a + 1$ occurrences of a, as is the case for all words in $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_a}$, then $\Theta_{a,a}(w) = (\lambda_a + 1)w$, and so Equation (24) becomes

$$((n+1) + (\lambda_a + 1) - a)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} + \sum_{r \le b < a} \Theta_{b,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\mu}. \qquad \square$$

Theorem 48. *For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l) \vdash n$, $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l+1\}$, and $\mu = \lambda + \vec{e}_r$ with $1 \le r \le a$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} - \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ = ((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r)\ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $v \in S^\lambda$ *is an eigenvector of* $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ *with eigenvalue* ε*, then either* $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}(v) = 0$ *or* $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}(v)$ *is an eigenvector of* $\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}$ *with eigenvalue*

$$\varepsilon + (n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r. \tag{25}$$

Note that the coefficient of $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}$ above is equal to the size of μ plus the diagonal index of the unique cell of μ/λ. In particular, the eigenvalue of v is "shifted" by a positive integer.

Proof. The case for $r = a$ follows immediately from Lemma 47, since the summation in Equation (21) is 0. We will deduce the general case from the case $r = a$.

For $\mu = \lambda + \vec{e}_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu} - \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ &\qquad = \big((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r\big)\ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Apply the $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–morphism $\Theta_{r,a}$ to Equation (26):

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu} - \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ &\qquad = \big((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r\big)\ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

First consider the left-hand side of Equation (27). The operators $\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu$ commute with $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–morphisms (see Equation (19)), so the left-hand side of Equation (27) is equal to the restriction to S^λ of

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r - \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n \\ &\qquad = \mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_r - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the identity $\Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{sh}_r = \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \Theta_{r,a}$ (Lemma 35), the above is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \Theta_{r,a}\big) \\ &\qquad - \big(\mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \Theta_{r,a} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta_{r,a}$ is a $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module morphism, it commutes with $\mathsf{R2R}_n$, and so the becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n\big) \\ &\qquad - \big(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r - \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu \circ \mathsf{sh}_r \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n\big) \circ \Theta_{r,a}. \end{aligned}$$

Restricting the above to S^λ and using the identity in Equation (26), the left-hand side of Equation (27) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} - \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \mathsf{R2R}_n\Big) \\ &\qquad - \big((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r\big)\ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu} \circ \Theta_{r,a}. \end{aligned}$$

Next consider the right-hand side of Equation (27). Combining Equation (18) with the fact that $\Theta_{r,a}$ is an $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$–module morphism and using Lemma 35,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \Theta_{r,a}\circ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu}\\
&\qquad=\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \Theta_{r,a}\circ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu\circ \mathsf{sh}_r\Big|_{S^\lambda}\\
&\qquad=\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu\circ \Theta_{r,a}\circ \mathsf{sh}_r\Big|_{S^\lambda}\\
&\qquad=\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \mathsf{isoproj}_\mu\circ \mathsf{sh}_a\Big|_{S^\lambda}\\
&\qquad\quad-\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \mathsf{isoproj}\circ \mathsf{sh}_r\circ\Theta_{r,a}\Big|_{S^\lambda}\\
&\qquad=\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}\\
&\qquad\quad-\big((n+1)+(\lambda_r+1)-r\big)\ \mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\mu}\circ\Theta_{r,a}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The result then follows by cancelling the common term. □

5.6. **Reformulation of the projection morphism.** This section describes a simple method to compute $\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$ that uses only the morphisms $\Theta_{a,b}$ and sh_i. In particular, this method does not require the explicit computation of any projections.

The starting point is the observation that the image of S^λ under sh_i is contained in a submodule of $M^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$ that is isomorphic to $S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}\oplus S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_2}\oplus\cdots\oplus S^{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$ (Lemma 45). Hence, $\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}+\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_2}+\cdots+\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}$ is the identity on this submodule. Consequently, if $\mathsf{sh}_i^\lambda=\mathsf{sh}_i\,|_{S^\lambda}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{sh}_i^\lambda&=\big(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_1}+\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_2}+\cdots+\mathsf{isoproj}_{\lambda+\vec{e}_i}\big)\circ\mathsf{sh}_i^\lambda\\
&=\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1}+\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_2}+\cdots+\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_i}
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_i}=\mathsf{sh}_i^\lambda-\sum_{1\le j<i}\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_j}\,.$$

Lemma 49. *Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_l)\vdash n$ and $1\le r<a\le l+1$. Define*

$$\gamma_{r,a}=(\lambda_r-r)-(\lambda_a-a).$$

Then

$$\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}$$

$$=\sum_{r\le b<a}\frac{\Theta_{b,a}}{\gamma_{r,a}}\circ\mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r} \tag{28}$$

$$=\sum_{r=b_0<b_1<\cdots<b_t<b_{t+1}=a}\frac{\Theta_{b_t,b_{t+1}}}{\gamma_{b_0,b_{t+1}}}\circ\frac{\Theta_{b_{t-1},b_t}}{\gamma_{b_0,b_t}}\circ\cdots\circ\frac{\Theta_{b_0,b_1}}{\gamma_{b_0,b_1}}\circ\mathsf{projlift}_r^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}\,. \tag{29}$$

Proof. Equation (28) is a direct consequence of Lemma 47 and Theorem 48:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r\le b<a}\Theta_{b,a}\circ\mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}\\
&=\Big(\mathsf{R2R}_{n+1}\circ\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}-\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}\circ\mathsf{R2R}_n\Big)\qquad\text{(Lemma 47)}\\
&\qquad-\Big((n+1)+(\lambda_a+1)-a\Big)\,\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big((n+1) + (\lambda_r + 1) - r\Big)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r} && \text{(Theorem 48)} \\
&\qquad - \Big((n+1) + (\lambda_a + 1) - a\Big)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r} \\
&= \Big((\lambda_r - r) - (\lambda_a - a)\Big)\, \mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (29) follows from repeated application of Equation (28). □

Proposition 50. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition of n and $1 \le i \le l+1$.*

$$
\mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_i} = \sum_{1 \le b_1 < \cdots < b_t < b_{t+1} = i} \frac{\Theta_{b_t,b_{t+1}}}{\gamma_{i,b_t}} \circ \frac{\Theta_{b_{t-1},b_t}}{\gamma_{i,b_{t-1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\Theta_{b_1,b_2}}{\gamma_{i,b_1}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{b_1}^{\lambda} .
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i.

Base cases: $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. If $i = 1$, then $\mathsf{projlift}_1^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1} = \mathsf{sh}_1^{\lambda}$. If $i = 2$, then

$$
\mathsf{projlift}_2^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_2} = \mathsf{sh}_2^{\lambda} - \mathsf{projlift}_2^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1} .
$$

Applying Lemma 49 to $\mathsf{projlift}_2^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{projlift}_2^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_2} &= \mathsf{sh}_2^{\lambda} - \sum_{1 \le b < 2} \frac{\Theta_{b,2}}{\gamma_{1,2}} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_b^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1} \\
&= \mathsf{sh}_2^{\lambda} - \frac{\Theta_{1,2}}{\gamma_{1,2}} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_1^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_1} = \mathsf{sh}_2^{\lambda} + \frac{\Theta_{1,2}}{\gamma_{2,1}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_1^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

General Case. Suppose the result holds for $\mathsf{projlift}_j^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_j}$ for $j < i$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1 \le j < i} \mathsf{projlift}_i^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_j} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < i \\ j = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_t < d_{t+1} = i}} \frac{\Theta_{d_t,d_{t+1}}}{\gamma_{j,d_{t+1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\Theta_{d_0,d_1}}{\gamma_{j,d_1}} \circ \mathsf{projlift}_j^{\lambda,\lambda+\vec{e}_j} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < i \\ 1 \le c_1 < \cdots < c_s < c_{s+1} = j \\ j = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_t < d_{t+1} = i}} \frac{\Theta_{d_t,d_{t+1}}}{\gamma_{j,d_{t+1}}} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\Theta_{d_0,d_1}}{\gamma_{j,d_1}} \circ \frac{\Theta_{c_s,c_{s+1}}}{\gamma_{j,c_s}} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\Theta_{c_1,c_2}}{\gamma_{j,c_1}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{c_1}^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now re-write this sum as a sum over all sequences of the form

$$
1 \le b_1 < \cdots < b_u < b_{u+1} = i.
$$

Such a sequence can be expressed as

$$
1 \le c_1 < \cdots < c_s < c_{s+1} = j = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_t < d_{t+1} = i
$$

in several ways (one for each term b_k of the sequence) so that the coefficient of

$$
\Theta_{b_u,b_{u+1}} \circ \Theta_{b_{u-1},b_u} \circ \cdots \circ \Theta_{b_1,b_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{b_1}^{\lambda}
$$

in the above summation is

$$
\sum_k \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_1}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_2}} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_{k-1}}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_{k+1}}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_{k+2}}} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_k,b_{u+1}}} \right).
$$

Applying Lemma 51 with $x_i = \lambda_i - i$, we have that this coefficient is equal to

$$
-\frac{1}{\gamma_{b_{u+1},b_1}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_{u+1},b_2}} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{b_{u+1},b_u}}.
$$

□

Lemma 51. *Let $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u$ be distinct real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{0\le k\le u} \prod_{\substack{0\le j\le u\\ j\neq k}} \frac{1}{x_k - x_j} = 0.$$

Proof. We first prove that the expression is symmetric in $x_0, \ldots, x_u$. Consider the effect of swapping x_i and x_{i+1}. If $k \neq i, i+1$ then swapping x_i and x_{i+1} maps

$$\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le u\\ j\neq k}} \frac{1}{x_k - x_j} = \frac{1}{(x_k - x_0)(x_k - x_1)\cdots(x_k - x_i)(x_k - x_{i+1})\cdots(x_k - x_u)}$$

to itself. If k is i or $i+1$, then swapping x_i and x_{i+1} exchanges

$$\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le u\\ j\neq i}} \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} = \frac{1}{\cdots(x_i - x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i+1})(x_{i+1} - x_{i+2})\cdots}$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le u\\ j\neq i+1}} \frac{1}{x_{i+1} - x_j} = \frac{1}{\cdots(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1})(x_{i+1} - x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_{i+2})\cdots}.$$

Let $V = \prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)$ denote the Vandermonde determinant. Since V is anti-symmetric and the above expression is symmetric, their product is anti-symmetric. Moreover, the product is a polynomial of degree less than $\deg(V)$. It follows that the product is 0, since V divides every anti-symmetric polynomial in the variables $x_0, \ldots, x_u$. Since V is nonzero, it follows that the summation is 0. □

5.7. **Image of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$.** Theorem 48 proves that $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}$ maps eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}^\lambda$ to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}^\mu$. More accurately, the eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}^\lambda$ are mapped to eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}^\mu$ that do not lie in its kernel.

We now prove that all *non-kernel* eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}^\mu$ can be obtained in this way: more generally, that every element in the image of $\mathsf{R2R}^\mu$ is a linear combination of elements in the images of $\mathsf{projlift}_a^{\lambda,\mu}$.

Proposition 52. *For every partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ of n,*

$$\mathsf{im}\left(\mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^\lambda}\right) \subseteq \mathsf{im}\left(\mathsf{T2R}\,|_{S^\lambda}\right) \subseteq \sum_{1\le a\le l} \mathsf{im}\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_a\,|_{S^{\lambda-\vec{e}_a}}\right).$$

Proof. We first show that $\mathsf{im}(\mathsf{R2R}\,|_{S^\lambda}) \subseteq \mathsf{im}(\mathsf{T2R}\,|_{S^\lambda})$. Recall from Proposition 34 that $\mathsf{R2R}_n = \mathsf{T2R}_n \circ \mathsf{R2T}_n$. If $v \in S^\lambda$, then $\mathsf{R2T}_n(v) \in S^\lambda$ since $\mathsf{R2T}_n$ is multiplication by an element of the group algebra of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Hence, $\mathsf{R2R}_n(v) = \mathsf{T2R}_n(\mathsf{R2T}_n(v)) \in \mathsf{T2R}_n(S^\lambda)$.

Also by Proposition 34, for any word w we have $\mathsf{T2R}_n(w) = \mathsf{sh}_{w_n}(w_1 \cdots w_{n-1})$. Rewrite this as

$$\mathsf{T2R}_n(w) = \sum_{1\le a\le n} \left(\mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{proj}_a\right)(w),$$

where $\mathsf{proj}_a(w_1 \cdots w_n)$ is $w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ if $w_n = a$ and is 0 otherwise. Thus, for every $v \in S^\lambda$ we have

$$\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{T2R}_n\right)(v) = \sum_{1\le a\le n} \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{proj}_a\right)(v).$$

We will show that $\mathsf{proj}_a(S^\lambda) \subseteq S^{\lambda-\vec{e}_a}$, which implies that $\mathsf{T2R}(v)$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_a^{n-1}\right)(v')$ with $v' \in S^{\lambda-\vec{e}_a}$.

Recall that the submodule S^λ is spanned by the elements w_t, where $t \in \mathsf{SYT}_\lambda$. Since $\mathsf{w}_t \cdot \sigma = \mathsf{w}_{\sigma^{-1}(t)}$ for any permutation σ, we can suppose that n appears at the end of row a of t. Let $\bar{t}$ denote the tableau obtained from t by removing the cell containing n. Then $\mathrm{word}(t) = \mathrm{word}(\bar{t})a$. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_l, n$ be the entries in the column of t containing n. The identity permutation together with the transpositions (c_1, n), $\ldots$, (c_l, n) form a transversal of $\mathsf{ColStab}(\bar{t})$ in $\mathsf{ColStab}(t)$. Therefore, N_t factors as $N_t = (\mathbf{1} - (c_1, n) - \cdots - (c_l, n))\, N_{\bar{t}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{proj}_a(\mathsf{w}_t) &= \mathsf{proj}_a\left(\mathrm{word}(t) \cdot N_t\right) \\ &= \mathsf{proj}_a\left(\mathrm{word}(\bar{t})a \cdot N_{\bar{t}}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathsf{proj}_a\left(\mathrm{word}(\bar{t})a \cdot (c_i, n)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{word}(\bar{t}) \cdot N_{\bar{t}} = \mathsf{w}_{\bar{t}},\end{aligned}$$

where the second last equality follows from the fact that (c_i, n) swaps the last letter of $\mathrm{word}(\bar{t})a$ with a different letter. This shows that $\mathsf{proj}_a(\mathsf{w}_t) \in S^{\lambda-\vec{e}_a}$ for every tableau t of shape λ. □

5.8. **Construction of eigenspaces from kernels of $\mathsf{R2R}_m$.** At this point, due to Theorem 48 and Proposition 52, we know that all the eigenvectors of the restriction of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ to S^λ, except those that lie in its kernel, can be obtained from eigenvectors of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n-1}$ using the linear transformations $\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_a$. More precisely, if $v \in S^\lambda$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ that does not belong to $\ker \mathsf{R2R}_n$, then v is of the form

$$v = \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{a_1}\right)\left(v^{(1)}\right) + \cdots + \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{a_r}\right)\left(v^{(r)}\right),$$

where $v^{(j)}$ is an eigenvector of $\mathsf{R2R}_{n-1}$ in some Specht module S^μ with $\lambda = \mu + \vec{e}_{a_j}$.

If $v^{(j)} \notin \ker \mathsf{R2R}_{n-1}$, then we can apply the same reasoning to write each $v^{(j)}$ in the above form. Continuing in this way, we can express v as a sum of images of elements in the kernel of some $\mathsf{R2R}_{n-k}$ under maps of the form:

$$\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k}\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\nu+\vec{e}_{r_1}+\vec{e}_{r_2}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right) \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\nu+\vec{e}_{r_1}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)\Big|_{S^\nu},$$

where $\lambda = \nu + \vec{e}_{r_1} + \vec{e}_{r_2} + \cdots + \vec{e}_{r_k}$. We study these maps and prove:

- the intermediate projections are not necessary if the r_i are appropriately ordered (Proposition 54): if $r_1 \le r_2 \le \cdots \le r_k$, then the above simplifies to
$$\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\Big|_{S^\nu};$$
- these maps are zero if λ/ν is not a horizontal strip (Proposition 56);
- the eigenspace decomposition for $\mathsf{R2R}^\lambda$ is obtained by applying the above maps to $\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu$; there is one subspace for each horizontal strip λ/ν (Proposition 57).

Much of this depends on the following observation relating sh_{a_i}, Θ_{a,a_i} and the shuffle product, where a is a new letter.

Lemma 53. *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ be letters and let a be a letter such that $a \neq a_i$ for all $1 \le i \le k$. If w does not contain an occurrence of a, then*

$$\left(\mathsf{sh}_{a_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{a_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{a_1}\right)(w) = w \sqcup\!\sqcup a_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup a_2 \sqcup\!\sqcup \cdots \sqcup\!\sqcup a_k$$

$$= (\Theta_{a,a_k} \circ \cdots \circ \Theta_{a,a_2} \circ \Theta_{a,a_1})\,(w \sqcup aa\cdots a).$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on k. It holds for $k = 1$. Suppose it holds for $k-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} k!\;\Theta_{a,a_1}\left(w \sqcup \overbrace{aa\cdots a}^{k}\right) &= \Theta_{a,a_1}\left(w \sqcup \overbrace{a \sqcup a \sqcup \cdots \sqcup a}^{k}\right) \\ &= \big(w \sqcup a_1 \sqcup a \sqcup \cdots \sqcup a\big) + \big(w \sqcup a \sqcup a_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup a\big) + \cdots \\ &= k\left((w \sqcup a_1) \sqcup \overbrace{a \sqcup \cdots \sqcup a}^{k-1}\right) = k!\left((w \sqcup a_1) \sqcup \overbrace{a\cdots a}^{k-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from the induction hypothesis. □

Proposition 54. *Suppose that λ is a partition of n obtained from $\mu \vdash n-k$ by adding k cells in rows $r_1 \le r_2 \le \cdots \le r_k$ (with repetition allowed). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\Big|_{S^\mu} \\ &\quad = \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k}\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}+\vec{e}_{r_2}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right) \circ \left(\mathsf{isoproj}_{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)\Big|_{S^\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To simplify the notation in this proof, we temporarily define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{(j)} &= \mu + \vec{e}_{r_1} + \vec{e}_{r_2} + \cdots + \vec{e}_{r_j} \\ \pi_j &= \mathsf{isoproj}_{\mu^{(j)}} = \mathsf{isoproj}_{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}+\vec{e}_{r_2}+\cdots+\vec{e}_{r_j}} \end{aligned}$$

so that the goal is to prove

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi_k \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}))\,(S^\mu) \\ &\qquad = \Big(\left(\pi_k \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k}\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\pi_2 \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right) \circ \left(\pi_1 \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)\Big)(S^\mu). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 45, $\mathsf{sh}_{r_1}(S^\mu)$ is contained in a submodule of $M^{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}}$ that is isomorphic to $S^{\mu+\vec{e}_1} \oplus S^{\mu+\vec{e}_2} \oplus S^{\mu+\vec{e}_3} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}}$. Write

$$\mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\,(S^\mu) = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{r_1},$$

where N_i is the projection of $\mathsf{sh}_{r_1}(S^\mu)$ onto its $(\mu+\vec{e}_i)$–isotypic component (which can be computed explicitly using the isotypic projectors). Then, for $j \ge 2$,

$$\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_1}(S^\mu)\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_1} \left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)(N_i). \tag{30}$$

Let $i < r_1$. We argue that the $\mu^{(j)}$–isotypic component of $\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)(N_i)$ is 0. By Lemma 53,

$$\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)(N_i) \subseteq \left(\Theta_{a,r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \Theta_{a,r_2}\right)(N),$$

where N is the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–submodule generated by

$$\left\{v \cdot \overbrace{aa\cdots a}^{j-1} : v \in S^{\mu+\vec{e}_i}\right\}.$$

We will show that N has no submodule that is isomorphic to $S^{\mu^{(j)}}$, which implies that $\left(\Theta_{a,r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \Theta_{a,r_2}\right)(N)$ has no submodule isomorphic to $S^{\mu^{(j)}}$.

Suppose N contains a submodule that is isomorphic to $S^{\mu^{(j)}}$. Since

$$N \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-j+1}\times\mathfrak{S}_{j-1}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}\left(S^{\mu+\vec{e}_i} \otimes S^{(j-1)}\right),$$

the branching rule for the symmetric groups implies that $\mu^{(j)}$ is obtained from $\mu+\vec{e}_i$ by adding $j-1$ cells. Hence, $\mu^{(j)} = \mu + \vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{i_2} + \vec{e}_{i_3} + \cdots + \vec{e}_{i_j}$, or equivalently

$$\vec{e}_{r_1} + \vec{e}_{r_2} + \vec{e}_{r_3} + \cdots + \vec{e}_{r_j} = \vec{e}_i + \vec{e}_{i_2} + \vec{e}_{i_3} + \cdots + \vec{e}_{i_j}.$$

Since $i < r_1$, it follows that there exists $l \in \{2, 3, \ldots, j\}$ such that $i = r_l$. This leads to the contradiction: $i < r_1 \leq r_l = i$. Hence, N does not contain a submodule that is isomorphic to $S^{\mu^{(j)}}$, which implies that the $\mu^{(j)}$–isotypic component of N, and consequently of $\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)(N_i)$, is 0.

Therefore, by Equation (30) and the fact that $N_{r_1} = \mathsf{isoproj}_{\mu+\vec{e}_{r_1}}(\mathsf{sh}_{r_1}(S^\mu))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\pi_j \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)(S^\mu) \\
&= \pi_j\Big(\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right)(N_{r_1})\Big) \\
&= \pi_j \circ \left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2}\right) \circ \left(\pi_1 \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)(S^\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows by induction on j since $(\pi_1 \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1})(S^\mu)$ is contained in the Specht submodule $S^{\mu^{(1)}}$ of $M^{\mu^{(1)}}$. □

For a skew partition λ/ν with λ obtained from ν by adding k cells in rows $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_k$, write

$$\mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu} = \mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}.$$

The next result proves that $\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu} = 0$ if λ/ν is not a horizontal strip.

Remark 55. The definition of $\mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu}$ does not depend on the ordering $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$ since $\mathsf{sh}_a \circ \mathsf{sh}_b = \mathsf{sh}_b \circ \mathsf{sh}_a$ for all a, b. However, the identity in the Proposition 54 is not independant of the ordering of $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$: the identity does not hold in general if we do not have $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_k$.

Proposition 56. *Suppose that λ is a partition of n obtained from $\nu \vdash n-k$ by adding k cells in rows $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$ (with repetition allowed). Then*

$$\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)(S^\nu)$$

is contained in a submodule of M^λ that is a homomorphic image of the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-k}\times\mathfrak{S}_k}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^\nu \otimes S^{(k)})$. In particular, if two of the cells $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k$ lie in the same column, then

$$S^\lambda \cap \left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)(S^\nu) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_2} \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\Big|_{S^\nu} = 0.$$

Proof. Let N be the $\mathfrak{S}_n$–submodule generated by the elements $\{x \cdot aa\cdots a : x \in S^\nu\}$, where $a = \ell(\nu)+1$. Then, by Lemma 53, $\left(\mathsf{sh}_{r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \mathsf{sh}_{r_1}\right)(S^\nu)$ is contained in $\left(\Theta_{a,r_k} \circ \cdots \circ \Theta_{a,r_1}\right)(N)$. Since $N \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-k}\times\mathfrak{S}_k}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^\nu \otimes S^{(k)})$ [Jam78], this proves the first statement.

For the second statement, recall that the branching rule for the symmetric groups says that S^λ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{n-k}\times\mathfrak{S}_k}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^\nu \otimes S^{(k)})$ if and only if no two cells of the skew partition λ/ν are contained in the same column. □

We are now ready to prove the essential and final part of Theorem 26.

Proposition 57. *Let λ be a partition of n. Then*

$$S^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda/\nu \text{ is a} \\ \text{horizontal strip}}} (\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu})(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu)$$

and the action of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ on $(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu})(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu)$ is scalar multiplication by

$$\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\nu) = \binom{|\lambda|+1}{2} - \binom{|\nu|+1}{2} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda/\nu).$$

Consequently, the ε–eigenspace of $\mathsf{R2R}_n$ acting on S^λ is

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\nu)=\varepsilon \text{ and} \\ \lambda/\nu \text{ is a horizontal strip}}} (\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu})(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu)$$

whose dimension is

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{eig}(\lambda/\nu)=\varepsilon \text{ and} \\ \lambda/\nu \text{ is a horizontal strip}}} d^\nu,$$

where d^ν is the number of desarrangement tableaux of shape ν.

Proof. The second statement follows from Proposition 54 and Theorem 48.

The argument preceding Proposition 54 shows that we can write

$$S^\lambda = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} (\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu})(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu).$$

By Proposition 56, the restriction of $\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu}$ to S^ν is zero if λ/ν is not a horizontal strip. We prove that this sum is direct via a dimension counting argument.

Let f^λ denote the number of standard tableaux of shape λ. Then

$$f^\lambda = \dim(S^\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda/\nu} \dim\left(\mathsf{isoproj}_\lambda \circ \mathsf{sh}^{\lambda/\nu}(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu)\right) \leq \sum_{\lambda/\nu} \dim(\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu).$$

The dimension of $\ker \mathsf{R2R}^\nu$ is d^ν, the number of desarrangement tableaux of shape ν [RSW14]. Hence, the right hand side above is the number of desarrangement tableaux Q such that $\lambda/\operatorname{shape}(Q)$ is a horizontal strip. By a result in [RSW14], there is a bijection between these tableaux and the set of standard tableaux of shape λ. Hence, the above inequality is an equality. □

6. Appendix: Tables of random-to-random eigenvalues

This section contains tables with the eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size $n \leq 6$ (*cf.* Theorem 5).

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	1	1	3	0	1	4
	1	1	1	3	3	0	0

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	1	1	6	0	0	9
	1	2	2	6	3	0	4
	1	2	2	6	6	0	0
	1	1	1	6	3	0	1

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	1	1	10	0	0	16
	1	3	3	10	3	0	10
	1	3	3	10	6	0	6
	1	3	3	10	10	0	0
	1	2	2	10	6	0	4
	1	2	2	10	10	0	0
	1	3	3	10	3	0	6
	1	3	3	10	6	0	2
	1	3	3	10	10	0	0
	1	1	1	10	10	0	0

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	1	1	15	0	0	25
	1	4	4	15	3	0	18
	1	4	4	15	6	0	14
	1	4	4	15	10	0	8
	1	4	4	15	15	0	0
	1	5	5	15	6	0	11
	1	5	5	15	10	0	7
	1	5	5	15	10	0	5
	2	5	10	15	15	0	0
	1	6	6	15	3	0	13
	1	6	6	15	6	0	9
	1	6	6	15	10	0	7
	1	6	6	15	10	0	3
	2	6	12	15	15	0	0
	1	5	5	15	6	0	7
	1	5	5	15	10	0	5
	1	5	5	15	10	0	3
	2	5	10	15	15	0	0
	1	4	4	15	10	0	6
	1	4	4	15	10	0	2
	2	4	8	15	15	0	0
	1	1	1	15	10	0	1

FIGURE 8. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size $n = 5$.

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	1	1	21	0	0	36
	1	5	5	21	3	0	28
	1	5	5	21	6	0	24
	1	5	5	21	10	0	18
	1	5	5	21	15	0	10
	1	5	5	21	21	0	0
	1	9	9	21	6	0	20
	1	9	9	21	10	0	16
	1	9	9	21	10	0	14
	2	9	18	21	15	0	9
	1	9	9	21	15	0	6
	3	9	27	21	21	0	0
	1	10	10	21	3	0	22
	1	10	10	21	6	0	18
	1	10	10	21	10	0	16
	1	10	10	21	10	0	12
	2	10	20	21	15	0	9
	1	10	10	21	15	0	4
	3	10	30	21	21	0	0
	1	5	5	21	10	0	12
	2	5	10	21	15	0	7
	2	5	10	21	21	0	0

FIGURE 9. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size $n = 6$; continues in Figure 10 and Figure 11.

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	16	16	21	6	0	15
	1	16	16	21	10	0	13
	1	16	16	21	10	0	11
	1	16	16	21	10	0	9
	2	16	32	21	15	0	8
	2	16	32	21	15	0	6
	2	16	32	21	15	0	4
	6	16	96	21	21	0	0
	1	10	10	21	10	0	14
	1	10	10	21	10	0	10
	2	10	20	21	15	0	8
	2	10	20	21	15	0	3
	4	10	40	21	21	0	0

FIGURE 10. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size $n = 6$; continues in Figure 9 and Figure 11.

λ/μ	d^μ	f^λ	multiplicity	$\binom{\lvert\lambda\rvert+1}{2}$	$\binom{\lvert\mu\rvert+1}{2}$	diag(λ/μ)	eig(λ/μ)
	1	5	5	21	10	0	8
	2	5	10	21	15	0	5
	2	5	10	21	21	0	0
	1	9	9	21	10	0	8
	2	9	18	21	15	0	6
	2	9	18	21	15	0	3
	4	9	36	21	21	0	0
	1	5	5	21	10	0	8
	2	5	10	21	15	0	2
	2	5	10	21	21	0	0
	1	1	1	21	21	0	0

FIGURE 11. Eigenvalues (scaled by n^2) for the random-to-random shuffle acting on permutations of size $n = 6$; continues in Figure 9 and Figure 10.

References

[AST14] A. Ayyer, A. Schilling, and N. M. Thiery, *Spectral gap for random-to-random shuffling on linear extensions*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[BD98] Kenneth S. Brown and Persi Diaconis, *Random walks and hyperplane arrangements*, Ann. Probab. **26** (1998), no. 4, 1813–1854. MR MR1675083 (2000k:60138)

[BHR99] Pat Bidigare, Phil Hanlon, and Dan Rockmore, *A combinatorial description of the spectrum for the Tsetlin library and its generalization to hyperplane arrangements*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 1, 135–174. MR MR1700744 (2000m:52032)

[Bro00] Kenneth S. Brown, *Semigroups, rings, and Markov chains*, J. Theoret. Probab. **13** (2000), no. 3, 871–938. MR MR1785534 (2001e:60141)

[Bro04] ———, *Semigroup and ring theoretical methods in probability*, Representations of finite dimensional algebras and related topics in Lie theory and geometry, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 3–26.

[BW83] Anders Björner and Michelle Wachs, *On lexicographically shellable posets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), no. 1, 323–341. MR 690055 (84f:06004)

[CLR10] Pietro Caputo, Thomas M. Liggett, and Thomas Richthammer, *Proof of Aldous' spectral gap conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 831–851. MR 2629990 (2011k:60316)

[Dés82] Jacques Désarménien, *Une autre interprétation du nombre de dérangements*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **B08b** (1982), 6.

[Dev15] The Sage Developers, *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.8)*, 2015, `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[Dia88] Persi Diaconis, *Group representations in probability and statistics*, Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series, 11, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA, 1988. MR 964069 (90a:60001)

[Dia03] ———, *Mathematical developments from the analysis of riffle shuffling*, Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 73–97. MR 1994961

[Die10] A. B. Dieker, *Interlacings for random walks on weighted graphs and the interchange process*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **24** (2010), no. 1, 191–206. MR 2600660 (2011d:60230)

[DS81] Persi Diaconis and Mehrdad Shahshahani, *Generating a random permutation with random transpositions*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **57** (1981), no. 2, 159–179. MR 626813 (82h:60024)

[DSC95] P. Diaconis and L. Saloff-Coste, *Random walks on finite groups: a survey of analytic techniques*, Probability measures on groups and related structures, XI (Oberwolfach, 1994), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. 44–75. MR 1414925 (97k:60013)

[DW88] Jacques Désarménien and Michelle L. Wachs, *Descentes des dérangements et mots circulaires*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **B19a** (1988), 9 pages.

[DW93] ———, *Descent classes of permutations with a given number of fixed points*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **64** (1993), no. 2, 311–328. MR 1245164 (95c:05008)

[Far79] Frank D. Farmer, *Cellular homology for posets*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978/79), no. 6, 607–613. MR 529895 (82k:18013)

[HH04] Phil Hanlon and Patricia Hersh, *A Hodge decomposition for the complex of injective words*, Pacific J. Math. **214** (2004), no. 1, 109–125. MR 2039128 (2005c:13019)

[HR15] P. Hersh and V. Reiner, *Representation stability for cohomology of configuration spaces in* $\mathbf{R}^d$, ArXiv e-prints (2015).

[Jam78] G. D. James, *The representation theory of the symmetric groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 682, Springer, Berlin, 1978. MR 513828 (80g:20019)

[Knu70] Donald E. Knuth, *Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 709–727. MR 0272654 (42 #7535)

[MNP14] Ben Morris, Weiyang Ning, and Yuval Peres, *Mixing time of the card-cyclic-to-random shuffle*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **24** (2014), no. 5, 1835–1849. MR 3226165

[MQ14] B. Morris and C. Qin, *Improved bounds for the mixing time of the random-to-random insertion shuffle*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[MSS15a] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, and B. Steinberg, *Cell complexes, poset topology and the representation theory of algebras arising in algebraic combinatorics and discrete geometry*, ArXiv e-prints (2015).

[MSS15b] Stuart Margolis, Franco Saliola, and Benjamin Steinberg, *Combinatorial topology and the global dimension of algebras arising in combinatorics*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **17** (2015), no. 12, 3037–3080. MR 3429159

[Pin15] Ross G. Pinsky, *Probabilistic and combinatorial aspects of the card-cyclic to random insertion shuffle*, Random Structures Algorithms **46** (2015), no. 2, 362–390. MR 3302902

[RSW14] Victor Reiner, Franco Saliola, and Volkmar Welker, *Spectra of symmetrized shuffling operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **228** (2014), no. 1072, vi+109. MR 3184410

[RW04] Victor Reiner and Peter Webb, *The combinatorics of the bar resolution in group cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **190** (2004), no. 1-3, 291–327. MR 2043333 (2005i:57001)

[Sag01] Bruce E. Sagan, *The symmetric group*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 203, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions. MR 1824028 (2001m:05261)

[SC04] Laurent Saloff-Coste, *Random walks on finite groups*, Probability on discrete structures, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 110, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 263–346. MR 2023654 (2004k:60133)

[SCc08] The Sage-Combinat community, *Sage-Combinat: enhancing Sage as a toolbox for computer exploration in algebraic combinatorics*, 2008, `http://combinat.sagemath.org`.

[Sch77] M.-P. Schützenberger, *La correspondance de Robinson*, Combinatoire et représentation du groupe symétrique (Actes Table Ronde CNRS, Univ. Louis-Pasteur Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 59–113. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 579. MR 0498826

[SCZ08] L. Saloff-Coste and J. Zúñiga, *Refined estimates for some basic random walks on the symmetric and alternating groups*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **4** (2008), 359–392. MR 2461789 (2010a:60019)

[Sta99] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin. MR 1676282 (2000k:05026)

[Sub13] Eliran Subag, *A lower bound for the mixing time of the random-to-random insertions shuffle*, Electron. J. Probab. **18** (2013), no. 20, 20. MR 3035748

[UR02] Jay-Calvin Uyemura-Reyes, *Random walk, semi-direct products, and card shuffling*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 2002.

Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, Columbia University, 500 W 120th St, New York, NY 10027

E-mail address: `dieker@columbia.edu`

Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique (LaCIM), Université du Québec à Montréal, CP 8888, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec) H3C 3P8, Canada

E-mail address: `saliola.franco@uqam.ca`